TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: MT

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- Form 6 Number and Percentage of Newborn and Others Screened, Case Confirmed, and Treated
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
 - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
 - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
 - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
 - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
 - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
 - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
 - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
 - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
 - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
 - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
 - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

APPLICATIO	ON FOR FEDE	ERAL AS		2. DATE SUBM 7/15/2005	ITTED		APPLICANT IDENTIFIER MDPHHS				
1. TYPE OF SUBI	MISSION:		3	3. DATE RECE	IVED BY STATE		STATE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER B04MC02426-00				
Application		Pre-applica	ation				DU41WC02420-00				
Construction		Consti	ruction	4. DATE RECE	VED BY FEDERAL	AGENCY	FEDERAL IDENTIFIER				
Non-Construc	ction	✓ Non-C	Construction				DUN # 05-165-9352				
5. APPLICANT IN	IFORMATION										
Legal Name: Montana Departn	ment of Public	⊔ealth and	Sarvicas	Organizationa	l Unit:	Rurose					
Address (give city 1400 Broadway PO BOX 202951 Helena, MT 5962 County: Lewis an	v, county, state a			Name and tele application (gi Name: Jo An	Name and telephone number of the person to be contacted on matters involving this application (give area code) Name: Jo Ann Walsh Dotson Tel Number: 406-444-4743						
6. EMPLOYER ID	ENTIFICATION	NUMBER ((EIN):	II	APPLICANT: (Enter		·				
81	0 3	0 2	4 0			versity e anization	ct of Higher Learning				
8. TYPE OF APPL					EDERAL AGENCY Irces and Services		on, Maternal and Child Health Bureau				
New Cor											
If Revision, enter a A. Increase Award B. Decrease Duration Other	Decrease Award C. ler (specify):	Increase Durati	on								
10. CATALOG OF NUMBER:	FEDERAL DO	MESTIC AS	SISTANCE	11	TIVE TITLE OF AP Child Health Serv		OJECT:				
9 3 TITLE: Maternal at 12. AREAS AFFE states, etc.): Statewide											
13. PROPOSED F	PROJECT:			14. CONGRE	SSIONAL DISTRIC	TS OF:					
Start Date: 10/01/2005		ding Date: 30/2006		a. Applicant State of Mon	tana		Project ate of Montana				
15. ESTIMATED F	FUNDING:						IVE ORDER 12372 PROCESS?				
a. Federal \$		0.00	ORDER 12372 DATE:	2 PROCESS F	OR REVIEW ON		AILABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE				
c. State	\$ 1,0	85,637.00	PROG	GRAM IS NOT	COVERED BY E.O	. 12372					
d. Local \$	\$ 3,5	98,977.00	OR P	ROGRAM HAS	NOT BEEN SELE	CTED BY STAT	TE FOR REVIEW				
e. Other	==== ================================	0.00	l								
f. Program Income	\$7	91,235.00	ľ								
g. TOTAL	\$8,0	23,781.00	17. IS THE APPL Yes. If "Yes",		QUENT ON ANY FE	DERAL DEBT					
	S BEEN DULY A	AUTHORIZE	D BY THE GOVE	RNING BODY			ARE TRUE AND CORRECT. THE PPLICANT WILL COMPLY BY THE				
a. Typed Name of Dr Robert Wynia		oresentative			b. Title Director, DPHHS		c. Telephone Number 406 444-5622				
d. Signature of Au	ıthorized Repres	sentative			.—		e. Date Signed				

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAIL:		
[Secs. 504 (d) and 50		
STATE: N	IT	
1. FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for: A.Preventive and primary care for children:		\$ 2,547,932
\$1,008,269 (39.57%)		
B.Children with special health care needs:		
\$ 829,709 (32.56%) (If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the application C.Title V admininstrative costs:	n)[Sec. 505(a)(3)]	
\$ 224,404 (8.81%)		
(The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]		
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)		\$ 0
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)		\$ 1,085,637
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)		\$ 3,598,977
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)		\$ 0
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)		\$ 791,235
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$485,480		\$ 5,475,849
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT PART (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	NERSHIP (SUBTOTAL)	\$ 8,023,781
9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of the Title	V program)	
a. SPRANS:	\$0	
b. SSDI:	\$ 1,000,000	
c. CISS:	\$0	
d. Abstinence Education:	\$ 9,650	
e. Healthy Start:	\$0	
f. EMSC:	\$ 139,815	
g. WIC:	\$ 13,500,000	
h. AIDS:	\$ 1,195,640	
i. CDC:	\$0	
j. Education:	\$0	
k. Other:		
Early Child	\$50,000	
FA Spec Dis	\$ 100,000	
FAS PRV	\$ 7,000	
Oral Health	\$ 70,000	
PHBG	\$ 152,260	
Title X FP	\$ 1,959,897	
UNB	\$150,000	
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds under item 9)		\$ 18,334,262
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL (Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)		\$ 26,358,043

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main Field Name: FedAlloc Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Section Number: Main
Field Name: FedAlloc_PPCC
Row Name: Federal Allocation - Preventive and primary care for children
Column Name:
Year: 2006
Field Note:

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: MT

	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2	2006
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$2,609,133	\$2,609,133	\$	\$0	\$	\$0
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$1,166,561	\$ 1,085,638	\$1,130,108	\$0	\$1,085,637	\$0
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$3,000,000	\$3,598,977	\$2,800,000	\$0	\$3,598,977	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$	\$0	\$0	\$	\$0
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$6,775,694	\$ 8,084,983	\$6,490,112	\$0	\$8,023,781	\$0
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	NERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$17,888,106	\$17,688,622	\$ 19,041,494	\$0	\$ 18,334,262	\$0
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$ 24,663,800	\$ 25,773,605	\$ 25,531,606	\$0	\$ 26,358,043	\$0
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: MT

	FY 2	2001	FY:	2002	FY 2	2003
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$2,609,133
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$1,389,673	\$1,288,861	\$1,288,137	\$1,239,283	\$1,246,729	\$1,160,198
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$2,604,648	\$3,148,189	\$	\$3,308,892	\$	\$2,733,097
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$6,562,024	\$	\$6,487,874	\$	\$6,407,594	\$6,502,428
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$15,278,032	\$ 18,019,985	\$ <u>16,510,575</u>	\$ <u>18,285,611</u>	\$ <u>19,471,259</u>	\$ 19,000,000
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$21,840,056	\$25,022,481	\$22,998,449	\$25,449,651	\$ 25,878,853	\$ 25,502,428
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

generous contributions and counting of Medicaid increase ability to spend

Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

generous contributions and counting of Medicaid reimbursement allowed additional expenditures

Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended Row Name: State Funds

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Administrative and legislative action resulted in decreased available state general fund. Administrative cuts decreased the Medical Genetics program funds, and legislative action decreased available funding for MIAMI home visiting.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Local funds exeeded expectation. Very geneous donations and the addition of Medicaid billing for many services helped exceed expectations

Section Number: Main

Field Name: LocalMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: Local MCH Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Very generous donations and the inclusion of Medicaid billing has helped local income

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFundsExpended

Row Name: Other Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

generous contributions and counting of Medicaid reimbursement allowed added expenditures

Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended

Row Name: Program Income Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Local contributions have not been counted in the past submissions

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsExpended Row Name: Other Federal Funds

Column Name: Expended Year: 2003

Field Note:

Actual grant awards differed from Budgeted amounts, especially in the WIC, which continues to increase r/t food costs.

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: MT

	FY 2	ļ		FY 2	2005			FY :	2006			
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	Bud	GETED	Exp	PENDED	But	OGETED	Ехр	ENDED	В	UDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$	987,432	\$	1,389,131	\$	1,038,306	\$		0 \$	1,367,965	\$	C
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	787,800	\$	1,101,335	\$	706,138	\$		0 \$	1,106,576	\$	C
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	2,295,000	\$	2,611,937	\$	2,492,250	\$		0 \$	2,611,937	\$	C
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	1,488,614	\$	1,414,398	\$	1,348,000	\$		0 \$	1,440,881	\$	0
e. Others	\$	866,848	\$	1,180,539	\$	615,418	\$		0 \$	1,066,295	\$	C
f. Administration	\$	350,000	\$	387,643	\$	290,000	\$		0 \$	430,127	\$	C
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	6,775,694	\$	8,084,983	\$	6,490,112	\$	0	\$_	8,023,781	\$0)
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	contro	l of the person re	espo	nsible for admini	strati	on of the Title V	progra	am).				
a. SPRANS	\$	0			\$	0			\$_	0		
b. SSDI	\$	100,000			\$	100,000			\$	1,000,000		
c. CISS	\$	12,466			\$	50,000			\$	0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$	180,000			\$	175,988			\$	9,650		
e. Healthy Start	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
f. EMSC	\$	145,000			\$	139,960			\$	139,815		
g. WIC	\$	13,000,000			\$	14,144,314			\$_	13,500,000		
h. AIDS	\$	2,126,380			\$	2,215,641			\$	1,195,640		
i. CDC	\$	265,000			\$	279,134			\$_	0		
j. Education	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
k.Other]		1								1	
Early Child	\$	0			\$	0			\$	50,000		
FA Spec Dis	\$	0			\$	0			\$_	100,000		
FAS PRV	\$	0			\$	0			\$	7,000		
Oral Health	\$	0			\$	0			\$	70,000		
PHBG	\$	0			\$	159,260			\$_	152,260		
Title X FP	\$	1,800,000			\$	1,777,197			\$	1,959,897		
UNB	\$	0			\$	0			\$	150,000		
PHBG & FAS Prev	\$	259,260			\$	0			\$	0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$	17,888,106			\$	19,041,494			\$	18,334,262		

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: MT

		FY :	2001			FY 2002 FY						2003		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	But	OGETED	Exp	PENDED	Вυ	DGETED	Ex	PENDED	Bui	DGETED	Exi	PENDED		
a. Pregnant Women	\$	1,000,000	\$	1,072,725	\$	1,048,869	\$_	1,036,308	\$	1,027,914	\$	1,040,706		
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	875,000	\$	729,300	\$	743,000	\$_	686,870	\$	788,418	\$	670,596		
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	2,052,960	\$	2,418,602	\$	2,440,000	\$	2,072,131	\$	2,170,000	\$	2,565,447		
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	1,560,000	\$	1,492,340	\$	1,513,219	\$	1,413,582	\$	1,550,314	\$	1,374,934		
e. Others	\$	824,064	\$	967,321	\$	592,786	\$_	1,596,854	\$	530,948	\$	660,928		
f. Administration	\$	250,000	\$	322,208	\$	150,000	\$_	359,052	\$	340,000	\$	189,817		
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	6,562,024	\$	7,002,496	\$	6,487,874	\$	7,164,797	\$	6,407,594	\$	6,502,428		
]													
II. Other Federal Funds (under the c	1		espo	nsible for admini	strat		prog	gram).		0				
a. SPRANS	<u> </u>	0			<u>\$</u>	0			<u>\$</u>	0				
b. SSDI	\$	95,000			\$	100,000			\$	90,300				
c. CISS	\$	72,500			\$	100,000			\$	100,000				
d. Abstinence Education	\$	186,439			\$	186,439			\$	139,829				
e. Healthy Start	\$	150,000			\$	0			\$	0				
f. EMSC	\$	103,744			\$	100,000			\$	145,000				
g. WIC	\$	12,667,168			\$	13,264,660			\$	13,792,238				
h. AIDS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	2,126,380				
i. CDC	\$	612,375			\$	520,785			\$	510,035				
j. Education	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0				
k.Other]													
FAS Prevention	\$	0			\$	571,292			\$	571,292				
PHBG - FP & Dental	\$	0			\$	0			\$	159,260				
Title X Family Planning	\$	0			\$	0			\$	1,836,925				
PHBG Dental	\$	0			\$	7,000			\$	0				
PHBG FP	\$	0			\$	152,260			\$	0				
Title X FP	\$	0			\$	1,508,139			\$	0				
PHBG DENTAL	\$	9,973			\$	0			\$	0				
PHBG FP	\$	183,898			\$	0			\$	0				
TITLE X	\$	1,196,935			\$	0			\$	0				
III. SUBTOTAL	\$	15,278,032			\$	16,510,575			\$	19,471,259				
			•								-			

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenBudgeted Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Generous contributions and counting of Medicaid reimbursement

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Additional funds at local level allowed for the increased expenditure

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_0_1Budgeted Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Generous contributions and counting of medicaid reimbursement

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_0_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

County reports of MCHBG and county funds for infants services decreasing. Budgeted amounts for county services to infants totaled about \$585,000, but reported

expenditures approximately \$348,000

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_0_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended Year: 2004

Field Note:

Additional funds contributed at local level allowed the added expenditures

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Budgeted Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

generous contributions and counting of Medicaid reimbursement

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Field Note:

County MCHBG and GF budgeted expenditures were well below what was actually expended for children.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Additional funds at the local level allow additional expenditures

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNBudgeted Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Generous contributions and counting of Medicaid reimbursement

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Decrease in available funding for population, reflected in decreased local efforts. Limited expansion for this population - unreported revenues which do help augment are Medicaid TCM.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Additional funds were received locally allowed for added expenditures

12. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersBudgeted Row Name: All Others Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

generous contributions and counting of Medicaid reimbursement

13. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Variations attributable to county level fluctuations, with the greatest shift in the County GF (\$200,000 budgeted, \$435,095 expended)

14. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Additional funds at the local level allowed for the added expenditures

15. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminBudgeted Row Name: Administration Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Generous contributions and counting of Medicaid reimbursement

16. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

County variability - budgeted \$230,000, expended approximately \$150,000

17. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Additional funds at the local level allowed for the added expenditures

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: MT

Type of Sepvice	FY 2	2004	FY :	2005	FY 2006		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$	\$3,401,655	\$ 2,872,368	\$0	\$ 3,372,749	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$1,845,800	\$2,356,401	\$1,606,712	\$0	\$2,356,502	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 1,152,000	\$966,208	\$976,932	\$0	\$ 966,310	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$1,150,433	\$1,360,719	\$1,034,100	\$0	\$1,328,220	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$6,775,694	\$8,084,983	\$6,490,112	\$0	\$8,023,781	\$0	

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: MT

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2001	FY:	2002	FY 2003		
TIPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$	\$	\$	\$3,014,505	\$ 1,595,976	\$\$	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$1,750,000	\$1,754,695	\$1,850,000	\$1,974,543	\$1,803,228	\$1,564,043	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$1,200,000	\$1,186,865	\$1,200,000	\$1,052,609	\$1,458,001	\$1,044,448	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$1,412,024	\$1,262,131	\$1,400,000	\$1,123,140	\$1,550,389	\$ 982,145	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$6,562,024	\$7,002,496	\$6,487,874	\$	\$6,407,594	\$6,502,428	

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCBudgeted Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Assume continuation of 04 level of contributions and Medicaid reimbursement

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Direct health care expenditures for MCHBG funds has come down over the years - the county general fund, which accounts for a majority of the match, continues to be

reported as direct services

S. Section Number: Main Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Generous contributions and billiing of Medicaid allowed added expenditures

 Section Number: Main Field Name: EnablingBudgeted Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Assumed continuation of generous contributions and Mediciad reimbursement from 04

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Reporting of enabling services by counties are increasing slightly.

6. Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

generous contributions and Medicaid billing allowed for added expenditure

7. Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedBudgeted
Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Assume will repeat 04 generous contributions and Medicaid billing that allows added expenditures

8. Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

County based reporting

9. Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Generous contributions and Medicaid billing allowed for added expenditures

10. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildBudgeted Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Assume repeat of generous contributions and Medicaid reimbursement will allow added expenditures in 06 too

11. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended
Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

County based reporting

12. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended

Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services
Column Name: Expended
Year: 2004
Field Note:
Generous contributions and Medicaid billing allowed for added level of expenditures

FORM 6													
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORNS AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASES CONFIRMED, AND TREATED													
Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)													
STATE: MT													
Total Births by Occurrence: 11,526 Reporting Year: 2004													
Type of Screening Tests	(A Receiving at lea (1	st one Screen	(B) No. of Presumptive Positive	(C) No. Confirmed	Needing Tre	D) eatment that reatment(3)							
	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%							
Phenylketonuria	11,526	100	3		1 0	0							
Congenital Hypothyroidism	11,526	100	13		0 0								
Galactosemia	11,526	100	2		2 2	100							
Sickle Cell Disease	11,526	100	38		0 0								
Other Screening	(Specify)												
Screening Progra			n (Specify Tests	by name)	-								
(1) Use occurrent births as denominator. (2) Report only those from resident births. (3) Use number of confirmed cases as denominator.													

Confirmed = presumed in 2004

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease_OneScreenNo

Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Mandatory Hemoglobinopathies includes screening for sickle cell. We have initial screens in the Harvest software for substantially more than the birth cohort. Without a direct tie between the Lab's Harvest system and Birth Certificates, we cannot be sure that every child born in MT got all mandatory screens, but we've estimated the coverage this way before. In the spot checking that I've done, originally missed screens do get done on an out-patient basis.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Galactosemia_Confirmed

Row Name: Galactosemia Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Presumptive = confirmed in 2004

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Phenylketonuria_TreatmentNo Row Name: Phenylketonuria

Column Name: Needing treatment that received treatment

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Patient expired in intensive care unit

Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: MT

Reporting Year: 2004

	TITLE V	TITLE V PRIMARY SOURCES OF COVERAGE									
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %					
Pregnant Women	4,219	35.3	3.3	10.8	9.3	41.4					
Infants < 1 year old	6,257	48.4	1.7	20.5	6.9	22.5					
Children 1 to 22 years old	29,416	14.1	2.1	14.8	5.9	63.2					
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	4,045	41.1	4.9	37.6	2.8	13.5					
Others	33,560	17.9	1.6	14.1	6.9	59.5					
TOTAL	77,497										
		'									

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main Field Name: Children_0_1_TS

Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Title V Total Served

Montana serves approximately 45% of all newborns in the state. Montana does not fund delivery sevices either so the number of newborns served and the total number of new borns will be substantially different.

Section Number: Main Field Name: AllOthers_TS Row Name: Others

Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Others sum include the addition of others, Deliveries and Women of Childbearing Age

Section Number: Main Field Name: AllOthers_Unknown Row Name: Others Column Name: Unknown %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data does equal 100%. there is an error in rounding

in the addition of the numbers added to equal the percentage computed

FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE

XIX
(BY RACE AND ETHNICITY)
[Sec. 506(A)(2)(C-D)]
STATE: MT

Reporting Year: 2004

I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	11,526	9,785	53	1,510	24	48	33	73
Title V Served	4,933	4,366	0	235	0	0	0	332
Eligible for Title XIX	3,895	2,989	23	865		15		3
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	10,732							10,732
Title V Served	4,923	4,306		517				100
Eligible for Title XIX	3,895	2,989	23	865	15			3

II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPA	ANIC OR LATING	O (Sub-categorie	s by country or area o	f origin)
	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	(B.1) Mexican	(B.2) Cuban	(B.3) Puerto Rican	(B.4) Central and South American	(B.5) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	11,526							
Title V Served	4,933	69	0	0	0	0	0	69
Eligible for Title XIX	3,895							
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	10,732	0		0	0	0	0	
Title V Served	4,923		0					
Eligible for Title XIX	3,895							

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTotal_All Row Name: Total Deliveries in State Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Section Number: I Undulpliate count my race

Field Name Deleveries Total-All Row name Total Deliveries in State colume Name Totol all races

Year 2006 Note.

Variation between from 7 nad form 8. The Mch State leel program provides flooow up to the NBS healstick and hearing progams, serving virtually all infants in the tate. The reporting of infants on form 7 are those reported as receiling serices fo county contractors, in the form of home isilting, clini or other erices. Adding two figures together would du0licate virtually every infant receiving services at the conty leve, therefore, the county report of 4219 is used here.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV_All Row Name: Title V Served Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data is from County level reporting for the MCHBG plus the Family Planning reporting on Deliveries and Pregnancies.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV_White Row Name: Title V Served Column Name: White Year: 2006

Very few counties still report use of MCHBG for deliveries

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTotal_All Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data available to the state does not break down the infants by race.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: DeliveriesTotal_TotalNotHispanic

Row Name: Total Deliveries in State Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data available to the state does not break down the population into the required groups for this report.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV_TotalNotHispanic

Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Montana does not provide delivery services as part of the use of the MCHBG funds. Therefore there is not count to report

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_TotalNotHispanic

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTotal_TotalNotHispanic

Row Name: Total Infants in State

Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data available to the state does not break down populations into infants 1 year or less.

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: MT

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(887) 543-7669	(887) 543-7669	(887) 543-7669	(887) 543-7669	(887) 43-7669
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	Family Health Line				
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Jackie Forba	Mary Noel	Mary Noel	Mary Noel	Mary Noel
4. Contact Person's Telephone Number	(406) 444-5288	(406) 444-6992	(406) 444-6992	(406) 444-6992	(406) 444-6992
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0		12,390	12,396	12,323

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: MT

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number					
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name					
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"					
4. Contact Person's Telephone Number					
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0	0	0	0	0

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006 [Sec. 506(A)(1)] STATE: MT

1. State MCH Administration:

(max 2500 characters)

Title V supports services for the MCH populations in Montana. Those services are administered by the Family and Community Health Bureau in the Public Health and Safety Division and the Health Care Resource Bureau in the Health Resource Division of Montana's Department of Public Health and Human Services. These programs work in conjunction with private and public programs to promote the health of the MCH population, including infant, children, including those with special health needs, adolescents, women of childbearing age, including pregnant women and their families.

Block Grant Funds

2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$ 2,547,932
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$ 0
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$ 1,085,637
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$ 3,598,977
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$ 0
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$ 791,235
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$ 8,023,781

9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:

10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)

	•		
_	4,219		
	6,257		
	29,416		
	4.045		

Local county health departments contracts for MCH

- 11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:
- a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

(max 2500 characters)

d. CSHCN

e. Others

a. Pregnant Womenb. Infants < 1 year oldc. Children 1 to 22 years old

Direct medical care and enabling services including public health home visiting services are provided at the state level through contracts for speciality clinics and family planning services, and at the county level through local decisions. Direct health care services continues to demand resource focus in MOntana. Home visiting services for pregnant women and infants, including those with special needs, are supported with state general fund.

33,560

b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters)

The state continues to focus on development of population based services including newborn metabolic and hearing screening, oral health services, and public education efforts including those about the importance of breastfeeding.

c. Infrastructure Building Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Contracts with counties to support county health services accounts for approximately 42% of Montana's MCHBG annually. State level infrastructe has been diversified, with MCHBG supported staff working to obtain alternative funding sources to support projects, staff and programs supportive of the MCH goals.

12. The primary Title V Program contact person:

13. The children with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person:

Name	Jo Ann W. Dotson RN MSN	Name	Bette Jo Archambault RN BSN
Title	Chief, Family and Community Health Bureau	Title	Acting Children's Special Health Services Supervisor
Address	DPHHS 1400 Broadway PO Box 202951	Address	DPHHS 1218 East 6th Ave
City	Helena	City	Helena
State	МТ	State	MT
Zip	59620	Zip	59620
Phone	406-444-4743	Phone	406-444-0984
Fax	406-444-2606	Fax	406-444-2750
Email	jdotson@mt.gov	Email	bjarchambault@mt.gov

Web	 Web	_

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Most significant providers receiving MCH funds
 Field Name: ProviderFund1
 Row Name:
 Column Name:
 Year: 2006
 Field Note:

Field Note:
Local county health departments contracts for MCH Services

TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES
[Secs 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)]
STATE: MT

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 01

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			99.9	99.9	100
Annual Indicator			100.0	100.0	100.0
Numerator			6	4	2
Denominator			6	4	2
s the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator Numerator	Place fill in only th		above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator		ure year data.			

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	0	0	0	54.2	54.5
Annual Indicator	NaN	NaN	54.0	54.0	54.0
Numerator	0	0	188	188	188
Denominator	0	0	348	348	348
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

		Annual (Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	55	55.3	55.6	55.6	55.6

Annual Indicator Denominator

Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03					
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	o receive coordinate			•	ICN Survey)
	2000	<u>Annua</u> 2001	Il Objective and Perfo 2002	ormance Data 2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		2001)	52	
	NaN	NaN	51.7	51.7	60.
	0		361		
	0		698	698	-,
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annua	I Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	60.2	60.4	4 60.6	60.8	6
Annual Indicator					
	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the	e above years. Numera	ator. Denominator an	d Annual Indicators
Numerator	not required for futu		o abovo youror rumore	ator, 2 or or minator arr	
Denominator		ure year data.			
Denominator		ure year data.			
2.2		ure year data.			
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04					
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh			d/or public insurance to	pay for the services	they need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh		dequate private and	d/or public insurance to		they need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 he percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh		dequate private and			they need. (CSHCN
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 he percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	ose families have ac	dequate private and Annua 2001	I Objective and Perfo	ormance Data 2003	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 ne percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh urvey) Annual Performance Objective	ose families have ac	dequate private and Annua 2001	ol Objective and Performance 2002	ormance Data 2003	2004 50.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 ne percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh urvey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ose families have ac	dequate private and Annua 2001	2002 0 48.8	2003 50 48.8	2004 50 78
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 the percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who the urvey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	ose families have ac 2000 0 NaN	dequate private and Annua 2001 (NaN	2002 0 48.8	2003 50 48.8	2004 50 78 1,93
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 the percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who urvey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 0 NaN 0	dequate private and Annua 2001 (NaN	1 Objective and Perfo 2002 0 0 4 48.8	2003 50 48.8	2004 50. 78.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 the percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who urvey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 0 NaN 0	dequate private and Annua 2001 (NaN	1 Objective and Perfo 2002 0 0 4 48.8	2003 50 48.8 350	2004 50. 78. 1,93 2,46
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have accepted by the second sec	Annua Annua Annua Annua Annua Annua Annua	Objective and Perform 2002	2003 50 48.8 350 717 Final	2004 50. 78. 1,93 2,46 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 the percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who the urvey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 0 NaN 0 0	Annua Annua Annua Annua Annua Annua Annua	2002 0 0 48.8 0 350 717 Objective and Perfo	2003 50 48.8 350 717 Final Primance Data 2008	2004 50. 78. 1,93 2,46 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wherevey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 0 NaN 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Annua Annua Annua Annua Annua Annua Annua	2002 0 0 48.8 0 350 717 Objective and Perfo	2003 50 48.8 350 717 Final Primance Data 2008	2004 50. 78. 1,93 2,46 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 what burvey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 0 NaN 0 0 2005 78.5	Annua 2001 NaN Annua 2006 78.6	1 Objective and Perfo 2002 0 0 4 48.8 0 350 0 717 1 Objective and Perfo 2007	2003 50 48.8 350 717 Final 2008 78.8	2004 50 78 1,93 2,44 Provisional 2009
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wherevey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 0 NaN 0 0 2005 78.5	Annua 2001 Nah Annua 2006 78.6	2002 0 0 48.8 0 350 717 Objective and Perfo	2003 50 48.8 350 717 Final 2008 78.8	2004 50 78 1,9 2,4 Provisional 2009

rcent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose rvey)									
			Annual C	Objective a	nd Perfor	mance Dat	<u>a</u>		
	2000	2001		2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	0		0		0		71.9		72.
Annual Indicator	NaN		NaN		71.6		71.6		71.
Numerator	0		0		250		250		25
Denominator	0		0		349		349		34
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Provision	nal
	2005	2000	Annual C	Objective a	nd Perfor		<u>a</u>	2000	
	2005	2006		2007		2008		2009	
Annual Barfarmanas Objective	72.4		72.6		72.9		72.8		72
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only th			above years	72.8 . Numera	tor, Denomi	72.8 inator and	Annual Ind	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year da	ves for the a ata.		. Numerat		nator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year da	ves for the a ata. ke transition	n to all aspe	. Numeral	ılt life. (CSF	inator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year da	ves for the a ata. ke transition		. Numeral	ılt life. (CSF	inator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necessed	sary to ma	ves for the a ata. ke transitior Annual C	n to all aspe	. Numeral	ult life. (CSF	inator and	ey)	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necess	sary to ma	ves for the a ata. ke transitior	n to all aspe	. Numeral	ult life. (CSF	HCN Surve	ey)	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necess	sary to ma	ves for the a ata. Ike transition	n to all aspe	. Numerated to the control of the co	ult life. (CSF	HCN Surve	ey)	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services neces:	sary to ma	ves for the a ata. ke transitior Annual C	n to all aspe	ects of adu	ult life. (CSF	HCN Surve	ey)	6
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 Percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necessed.	sary to ma	ves for the a ata. ke transitior Annual C	n to all aspe	ects of adu	ult life. (CSF	HCN Surve	ey)	6. 5.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services neces:	sary to ma	ves for the a ata. ke transitior Annual C	n to all aspe	ects of adu	ult life. (CSF mance Dat 2003	HCN Surve	2004	6 5
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services neces:	sary to ma	ves for the a ata. Ike transition	n to all aspe	ects of adund Perfor	ult life. (CSF mance Dat 2003 Final	6 5.4 8 147	2004	6. 5.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 e percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services neces:	sary to ma	ves for the a ata. Ike transition	n to all aspe Dbjective a 2002	ects of adund Perfor	ult life. (CSF mance Dat 2003 Final	6 5.4 8 147	2004	6. 5.

aemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.			Annual C	Nhiootivo and	Dorfor	manaa Da	40		
	2000	2001	Annual C	Objective and 2002	Perior	2003	<u>ıta</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective	90		90		90		90		9
Annual Indicator	94.4		91.6		90.7		89.7		90.
Numerator	2,445		9,809		2,610		2,440		2,60
Denominator	2,591		10,709		2,878		2,721		2,86
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Provisio	nal
			Annual C	Objective and	Perfor		ta		
						2008		2000	
	2005	2006		2007		2006		2009	_
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	91 Please fill in only to		91 ves for the a		91 Iumerat		92 ninator and		
Annual Indicator	91 Please fill in only to								
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	91 Please fill in only to								
Annual Indicator Numerator	91 Please fill in only to		ves for the a ata.	above years. N	lumerat	tor, Denom	ninator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only t not required for fu	he Objectivure year d	ves for the a ata.	above years. N	lumerat	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual In	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	Please fill in only t not required for fur		ves for the a ata.	above years. N	lumerat	tor, Denom	ninator and		dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only to not required for further than the second s	he Objectivure year d	wes for the a ata.	above years. N	lumerat Perfor	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual In	dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only to not required for further than the second s	he Objectivure year d	ves for the a ata. Annual C	above years. N	Perfor	tor, Denom	ta	Annual In	dicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only to not required for full 2000 23 18.6 398	he Objectivure year d	Annual C	Objective and	Perfor	tor, Denom	18.5 15.3	Annual In	1 16 35
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only to not required for fur 2000 23 18.6 398 21,378	he Objectivure year d	Annual C 19 17.6 377	Objective and	Perfor 19 17.4 373	tor, Denom	18.5 15.3 327	Annual In	1 16. 35 21,37
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for fur 2000 23 18.6 398 21,378	he Objectivure year d	Annual C 19 17.6 377	Objective and	Perfor 19 17.4 373	mance Da	18.5 15.3 327	Annual In	1 16. 35 21,37
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for fur 2000 23 18.6 398 21,378	he Objectivure year d	Annual C 19 17.6 377 21,378	Objective and	Perfor 19 17.4 373	mance Da 2003	18.5 15.3 327 21,378	Annual In	1 16. 35 21,37

FORMANCE MEASURE # 09 ent of third grade children who have received protective sealar	ts on at leas	et one nern	nanent mo	olar tooth					
on the grade official who have received protective assume	lo on at road	ono po	ilanon in		Objective and Perfo	mance Da	ta		
	2000		2001	·····	2002	2003	<u></u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objecti	/e	15		39	40		41		42
Annual Indicat	or	2.1		2.0	5.2		13.0		2.5
	or			258	668		1,683		28
Denominat	or				12,907		12,907		12,90
Is the Data Provisional or Fina	1?					Final		Provision	nal
						_			
	2005		2006	Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objecti		40	2000	40	40	2000	40	2003	4
Annual Indica									
Denomina	or not requi	II in only th red for futu	e Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a	bove years. Numera	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	icators
Numerate Denominate De	or not requii	red for futu	ıre year d	ata.	·	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	icators
FORMANCE MEASURE # 10	or not requii	red for futu	ıre year d	ata. 0,000 childre	·			Annual Ind	icators
FORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by	or not required or not require	red for futu	ıre year d	ata. 0,000 childre	n.			Annual Ind	icators
FORMANCE MEASURE # 10	or not required or not require	red for futu	ure year d	ata. 0,000 childre	n. Dejective and Perfo l	rmance Da			
FORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused be a compared to the compare	y motor vehi	icle crashe	es per 100	0,000 childre Annual C 4.9 5.9	n. Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Da	<u>ta</u>		4.
FORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused be a compared to the compared of the compared to the compare	y motor vehi 2000 ve	icle crashe	ure year d	0,000 childre Annual C 4.9 5.9	n. Dijective and Perfor 2002 4.8	rmance Da	<u>ta</u> 4.7		4.
FORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused be a compared to the compared of the compared to the compare	y motor vehi	icle crashe	ure year d	0,000 childre Annual C 4.9 5.9	n. Dijective and Perfor 2002 4.8 5.4	mance Da 2003	4.7 4.3	2004	4. 6.
FORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused be a compared to the compared of the compared to the compare	y motor vehi 2000 ve or	icle crashe	ure year d	0,000 childre Annual C 4.9 5.9	on. 2002 4.8 5.4	mance Da 2003	4.7 4.3 8	2004	4. 6. 1 167,46
FORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused be a compared to the compare	y motor vehi 2000 ve or	icle crashe	ure year d	0,000 childre Annual C 4.9 5.9 11 186,130	n. 2002 4.8 5.4 10 186,130	rmance Da 2003 Final	4.7 4.3 8 186,130	2004	4. 6. 1 167,46
FORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused be a compared to the compare	y motor vehi 2000 ve or	icle crashe	ure year d	0,000 childre Annual C 4.9 5.9 11 186,130	on. 2002 4.8 5.4	rmance Da 2003 Final	4.7 4.3 8 186,130	2004	4. 6. 1 167,46

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11					
Percentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital dischar	rge.				
			al Objective and Perfor		
AI.B. C Olivetin	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			72	73	7
Annual Indicator		87		70.9	74.
Numerator		9,53	9,705	9,755	8,48
Denominator	13,629	10,93	13,823	13,759	11,46
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		A	-LObinative and Darfa	Data	
	2005	2006	al Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective			5 75.5	76	77.
Annual Indicator					
/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /					
Numerator	Diamentill in autoutle	ne Objectives for the	ne above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	ne above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ure year data.	ne above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ure year data.			Annual Indicators 2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data. Annu 2001	al Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before to the screened for hearing before the screened for h	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data. Annu 2001	al Objective and Perfor 2002 0 98	rmance Data 2003	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before to the screened for hearing before the screened for heari	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 33 78.3	ure year data. Annu 2001	al Objective and Perfor 2002 0 98 3 90.1	mance Data 2003 98	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before to the screened for hearing before the screened for hearing	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 33 78.3	Annu 2001 83 9,11	al Objective and Perfor 2002 0 98 3 90.1 1 9,810	mance Data 2003 98 90.0	2004 9 92. 10,56
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before to the screened for hearing before the screened for hearing	hospital discharge. 2000 33 78.3 8,459 10,809	Annu 2001 83 9,11	al Objective and Perfor 2002 0 98 3 90.1 1 9,810	98 90.0 10,144	2004 92. 10,56
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	hospital discharge. 2000 33 78.3 8,459 10,809	Annu 2001 83 9,11 10,93	al Objective and Perfor 2002 0 98 3 90.1 1 9,810 5 10,886	98 90.0 10,144 11,276 Final	2004 92. 10,56 11,37
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future to the not req	Annu 2001 83 9,11 10,93	al Objective and Perfor 2002 0 98 3 90.1 1 9,810 5 10,886	98 90.0 10,144 11,276 Final	2004 92. 10,56 11,37 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 33 78.3 8,459 10,809	Annu 2001 8 83 9,11 10,93 Annu 2006	al Objective and Perfor 2002 0 98 3 90.1 1 9,810 5 10,886 al Objective and Perfor 2007	98 90.0 10,144 11,276 Final Tmance Data 2008	2004 92. 10,56 11,37
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future to require for future to the not required for	Annu 2001 8 83 9,11 10,93 Annu 2006	al Objective and Perfor 2002 0 98 3 90.1 1 9,810 5 10,886	98 90.0 10,144 11,276 Final	2004 92 10,56 11,37 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before I Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 33 78.3 8,459 10,809	Annu 2001 83 9,11 10,93 Annu 2006	al Objective and Perfor 2002 0 98 3 90.1 1 9,810 5 10,886 al Objective and Perfor 2007	98 90.0 10,144 11,276 Final Tmance Data 2008 98	2004 92 10,56 11,37 Provisional

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13					
Percent of children without health insurance.					
			l Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		11		16	1
	r18.0	18.0	-	9.6	9.
Numerato	r 46,340	46,340	39,207	22,000	22,00
Denominato	r 257,440	257,440	230,630	230,062	230,06
Is the Data Provisional or Final	?			Final	Provisional
		<u>Annua</u>	I Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	e9	9	9	9	
	Please IIII III Only tr	ne Objectives for the	e above vears. Numera	tor. Denominator and	Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for future	ure yéar data. Medicaid Program.		tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for futor	ure year data. Medicaid Program. <u>Annua</u>	l Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	not required for futter r service paid by the N	ure year data. Medicaid Program. Annua 2001	l Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective	not required for futi r service paid by the N	ure year data. Medicaid Program. Annua 2001	l Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 95	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective	service paid by the N 2000 e 90 7 90.0	ure year data. Medicaid Program. Annua 2001 90	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 86.6	<u>mance Data</u> 2003 95 86.7	2004 9 88.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato	service paid by the N 2000 90 r 90.0 r 48,111	ure year data. Medicaid Program. Annua 2001 90 98.1 52,585	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 95 86.6 55,526	mance Data 2003 95 86.7 46,369	2004 9 88. 57,70
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	r not required for future r service paid by the r 2000 90 r 90.0 r 48,111 r 53,457	ure year data. Medicaid Program. Annua 2001 90 98.1 52,585	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 95 86.6 55,526	95 86.7 46,369 53,457	2004 9 88. 57,70 65,07
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato	r not required for future r service paid by the r 2000 90 r 90.0 r 48,111 r 53,457	ure year data. Medicaid Program. Annua 2001 90 98.1 52,585	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 95 86.6 55,526	mance Data 2003 95 86.7 46,369	2004 9 88. 57,70
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	not required for future r service paid by the r 2000 90 r 90.0 r 48,111 r 53,457	wedicaid Program. Annua 2001 90 98.1 52,585 53,594	Objective and Perfor 2002 95 86.6 55,526 64,089	### Timance Data 2003 95 86.7 46,369 53,457 Final	2004 9 88. 57,70 65,07 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato Is the Data Provisional or Final	not required for future of required for future	wre year data. Medicaid Program. Annua 2001 90 98.1 52,585 53,594 Annua 2006	Objective and Perform 2002 95 86.6 55,526 64,089	95 86.7 46,369 53,457 Final	2004 9 88. 57,70 65,07 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato Is the Data Provisional or Final	r not required for future r service paid by the N 2000 e 90 r 90.0 r 48,111 r 53,457 ? 2005 e 90	wedicaid Program. Annua 2001 90 98.1 52,585 53,594	Objective and Perform 2002 95 86.6 55,526 64,089	### Timance Data 2003 95 86.7 46,369 53,457 Final	2004 88 57,70 65,07 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Denominato Is the Data Provisional or Final Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato	not required for future of required for future	wedicaid Program. Annua 2001 90 98.1 52,585 53,594 Annua 2006 90	Objective and Perform 2002 95 86.6 55,526 64,089	7mance Data 2003 95 86.7 46,369 53,457 Final 7mance Data 2008	2004 88 57,70 65,07 Provisional

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15								
he percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.								
		A	Annual Ob	jective and Perfor		ıta		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	1		1	1		1		
Annual Indicator	1.0		1.2	1.1		1.0		1.
Numerator	110		125	120		115		12
Denominator	10,809		10,814	10,886		11,276		11,52
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provision	nal
		<u>A</u>	Annual Ob	jective and Perfor	mance Da	ıta		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	0.8		0.8	0.8		0.8		0
Denominator	not required for futu	ne Objectives ure year data	for the ab	ove years. Numerat	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Ind	licators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not required for futu	ure year data					Annual Ind	icators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not required for futu gh 19.	ure yéar data		ojective and Perfor	mance Da			icators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu	not required for futu gh 19.	ure year data	Annual Ob	ojective and Perfor 2002		nta	Annual Ind	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu	not required for future gh 19. 2000 16.5	ure yéar data	Annual Ob	Djective and Perfor 2002	mance Da	10.2		9
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	gh 19. 2000 16.5 11.2	ure yéar data	11.8 12.6	pjective and Perfor 2002 11 14.0	mance Da	10.2 16.9		9
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for future gh 19. 2000 16.5 11.2 8	ure year data.	11.8 12.6 9	Djective and Perfor 2002 11 14.0	mance Da	10.2 16.9		9
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	gh 19. 2000 16.5 11.2 8 71,310	ure year data.	11.8 12.6	pjective and Perfor 2002 11 14.0	mance Da 2003	10.2 16.9	2004	9 16 1
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	gh 19. 2000 16.5 11.2 8 71,310	ure year data.	11.8 12.6 9	Djective and Perfor 2002 11 14.0	mance Da	10.2 16.9		9. 16. 1
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future gh 19. 2000 16.5 11.2 8 71,310	2001	11.8 12.6 9 71,310	2002 11 14.0 10 71,310 Djective and Perfor	mance Da 2003 Final	10.2 16.9 12 71,149	2004 Provision	9. 16. 1
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future gh 19. 2000 16.5 11.2 8 71,310	2001	11.8 12.6 9 71,310	2002 11 14.0 10 71,310 2jective and Performance of the second secon	mance Da 2003 Final	10.2 16.9 12 71,149	2004	9 16. 1 71,14
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future gh 19. 2000	2001	11.8 12.6 9 71,310	2002 11 14.0 10 71,310 Djective and Perfor	mance Da 2003 Final	10.2 16.9 12 71,149	2004 Provision	9. 16. 1
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 le rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Indicator Annual Indicator	not required for future gh 19. 2000 16.5 11.2 8 71,310 2005	2001	11.8 12.6 9 71,310	2002 11 14.0 10 71,310 2jective and Performance of the second secon	mance Da 2003 Final mance Da 2008	10.2 16.9 12 71,149	2004 Provision	9 16 71,14 71,14

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 17					
ercent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-ri	sk deliveries and ne				
	2000	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		85		86.5	9
Annual Indicator		88.8		88.7	81.
	96			102	10
		125		115	12
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	110	123	120	Final	Provisional
is the Data Flovisional of Final:				Filiai	Flovisional
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	90	90	90	90	9
Numerator	not required for futu	ire vear data	above years. Numera	lor, Denominator and	Affilial Illulcators
Denominator					
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18		imester.	Objective and Perfer		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	ginning in the first tri	imester. <u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor		2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beg	ginning in the first tri	imester.	2002	mance Data 2003 85	2004 85
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	ginning in the first tri	imester. Annual 2001	2002 84.5	2003	2004 85. 82.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beg Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 83 83.0	imester. <u>Annual</u> 2001 84	2002 84.5 83.3	2003 85	85
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beg Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 83 83.0 8,967	mester. Annual 2001 84 82.5	84.5 83.3 9,067	85 84.2	85 82
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beg Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 83 83.0	imester. Annual 2001 84 82.5 8,922	84.5 83.3 9,067	85 84.2 9,496	85 82 9,42
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beg Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 83 83.0 8,967	imester. Annual 2001 84 82.5 8,922	84.5 83.3 9,067	85 84.2 9,496 11,276	85 82 9,42 11,37
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beg Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 83 83.0 8,967	mester. Annual 2001 84 82.5 8,922 10,814	84.5 83.3 9,067	85 84.2 9,496 11,276 Final	85 82 9,42 11,37
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beg Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 83 83.0 8,967	mester. Annual 2001 84 82.5 8,922 10,814	84.5 83.3 9,067 10,886	85 84.2 9,496 11,276 Final	85 82 9,42 11,37
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beg Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 83 83.0 8,967 10,809	imester. Annual 2001 84 82.5 8,922 10,814 Annual	84.5 83.3 9,067 10,886 Objective and Perfor 2007	85 84.2 9,496 11,276 Final	85 82 9,42 11,33 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beg Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 83 83.0 8,967 10,809	Annual 2001 84 82.5 8,922 10,814 Annual 2006 86.5	84.5 83.3 9,067 10,886 Objective and Perfor 2007	85 84.2 9,496 11,276 Final mance Data 2008 87.5	85 82 9,4: 11,3 Provisional 2009

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 1 Percent of unintended pregnancy.					
, ,		Annı	al Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	66.7%	50	% 52	54	52
Annual Indicator	63.7	59	64.8	66.1	64.
Numerator	984	1,1	59 1,261	1,189	1,20
Denominator	1,545	1,9	1,946	1,799	1,85
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annı	al Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	63	-	62 62	61	6
		o Objectives for	ha ahaya yaara Nijimara	tor Donominator and	Annual Indiantara
Numerator Denominator	not required for futi	ne Objectives for t ure year data.	he above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	not required for futi	ure year data.	·		Annual Indicators
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	not required for fut	ure year data. Annu	ial Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 recent of women who abstain from alcohol use in pregnancy.	not required for future	ure year data. Annu	·		2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 recent of women who abstain from alcohol use in pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective	not required for future and the second secon	Annu 2001	ial Objective and Perfor	rmance Data 2003	2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 reent of women who abstain from alcohol use in pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2%	Annı 2001 98	1al Objective and Perfor 2002 % 98 .3 96.9	rmance Data 2003 98	2004 9 97.
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 recent of women who abstain from alcohol use in pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 2% 1.6 177	Annu 2001 98 10,6	10 Objective and Perfor 2002 98 98 1.3 96.9 10,552	7mance Data 2003 98 97.2	2004 97. 11,07
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 recent of women who abstain from alcohol use in pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 2% 1.6 177 10,862	Annu 2001 98 10,6	10 Objective and Perfor 2002 98 98 1.3 96.9 10,552	98 97.2 10,959	2004 9 97.
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Forcent of women who abstain from alcohol use in pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2% 1.6 177 10,862	Annu 2001 98 10,6	10 Objective and Perfor 2002 98 1.3 96.9 68 10,552 57 10,886	98 97.2 10,959 11,276	2004 9 97. 11,07
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 reent of women who abstain from alcohol use in pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2% 1.6 177 10,862	Annu 2001 98 10,6	10 Objective and Perfor 2002 98 98 1.3 96.9 10,552	98 97.2 10,959 11,276	2004 97. 11,07
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 recent of women who abstain from alcohol use in pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2% 1.6 177 10,862	Annu 2001 98 10,6 10,8 Annu 2006	120 Objective and Perfor 2002 % 98 1.3 96.9 10,552 10,886	98 97.2 10,959 11,276 Final	2004 97. 11,07 11,37 Provisional
Annual Performance Objective Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2% 1.6 177 10,862 2005	Annu 2001 98 10,6 10,8 Annu 2006	10 Objective and Perform 2002 98	98 97.2 10,959 11,276 Final mance Data 2008	2004 97 11,0 11,3 Provisional 2009

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of "WIC" infants who are breastfed at six months.								
010011 01 1110 110 110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110		Δ	Annual Obi	jective and Perfor	mance Da	ıta		
	2000	2001		2002	2003	itu	2004	
Annual Performance Objective	39%		41%	42		43		44
Annual Indicator	25.5		33.6	25.7		31.8		25.7
	3,471		4,574	3,548		4,381		2,94
Denominator			13,624	13,813		13,759		11,46
Is the Data Provisional or Final?			<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Final		Provision	
		A	Annual Obj	jective and Perfor		ıta		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	45		46	47		48		4
Annual Indicator								
		****			_			
	Diana Cili in and Ale	ne Objectives	for the abo	ove years. Numerat	or, Denom	ninator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectives ure year data	for the abo	ove years. Numerat	or, Denom	ninator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5	Please fill in only the not required for future local review teams.	ure year data	Annual Obj	jective and Perfor	mance Da			icators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5 recent of state fetal/infant/child deaths reviewed for preventability by	Please fill in only the not required for future local review teams.	ure yéar data	Annual Obj	jective and Perfor 2002		ıta	Annual Indi	
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5 recent of state fetal/infant/child deaths reviewed for preventability by Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future local review teams.	ure year data	Annual Obj 90%	jective and Perfor 2002 95	mance Da	<u>ıta</u> 95		Ç
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5 cent of state fetal/infant/child deaths reviewed for preventability by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future local review teams. 2000 30% 33.9	ure year data	90% 76.8	jective and Perfor 2002 95 91.1	mance Da	95 88.0		62
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5 cent of state fetal/infant/child deaths reviewed for preventability by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future local review teams.	ure year data	Annual Obj 90%	jective and Perfor 2002 95	mance Da	<u>ıta</u> 95		62
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5 recent of state fetal/infant/child deaths reviewed for preventability by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future local review teams. 2000 30% 33.9	2001	90% 76.8	jective and Perfor 2002 95 91.1	mance Da	95 88.0		62 62
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5 recent of state fetal/infant/child deaths reviewed for preventability by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future local review teams. 2000 30% 33.9 19 56	2001	90% 76.8	jective and Perform 2002 95 91.1 184	mance Da	95 88.0 183		9 62 9
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Annual Performance Denominator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future local review teams. 2000 30% 33.9 19 56	2001	90% 76.8 43	jective and Perform 2002 95 91.1 184 202	mance Da 2003 ——————————————————————————————————	95 88.0 183 208	2004	9 62. 9
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5 recent of state fetal/infant/child deaths reviewed for preventability by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future local review teams. 2000 30% 33.9 19 56	2001	90% 76.8 43 56	jective and Perform 2002 95 91.1 184	mance Da 2003 ——————————————————————————————————	95 88.0 183 208	2004	9 62 9
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Annual Performance Denominator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future local review teams. 2000 30% 33.9 19 56	2001	90% 76.8 43 56	jective and Perform 2002 95 91.1 184 202	mance Da 2003 Final	95 88.0 183 208	2004 Provision	9 62. 9
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5 Percent of state fetal/infant/child deaths reviewed for preventability by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future local review teams. 2000 30% 33.9 19 56 2005	2001 <u>A</u>	90% 76.8 43 56 Annual Obj	jective and Perform 2002 95 91.1 184 202 jective and Perform 2007 95	mance Da 2003 Final mance Da 2008	95 88.0 183 208	2004 Provision 2009	9 62. 9 15 15 13 13
Annual Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future local review teams. 2000 30% 33.9 19 56 2005	2001 <u>A</u>	90% 76.8 43 56 Annual Obj	jective and Perform 2002 95 91.1 184 202 jective and Perform 2007 95	mance Da 2003 Final mance Da 2008	95 88.0 183 208	2004 Provision 2009	\$ 62 \$ 18 18 aal

Percent of facilities using standardized domestic violence screening to	ool as part of care as	ssessment and plann	ing.		
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	53%	54%	50	50	5
Annual Indicato	72.9	64.8	24.0	30.0	35.
Numerato	r <u>35</u>	35	12	15	1
Denominato	r48	54	50	50	5
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	?			Provisional	Provisional
			Objective and Perfor		2200
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective		42	45	50	5
Annual Indicato	Diagon fill in only th	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numerat	tor. Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerato	, 1 .000				
Denominato	not required for futi	ure year data.	,	,	
Denominato	not required for fut	ure year data.			
Denominato	not required for fut	ure year data.			
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7	not required for fut r	ure year data.			
Denominato	not required for fut		Objective and Perfor		
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7	not required for future				2004
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7	2000	<u>Annual (</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7 Percent of two year old children screened for lead.	2000	<u>Annual (</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7 Percent of two year old children screened for lead. Annual Performance Objective	2000 2 5% 7 6.5	Annual (2001 20%	Objective and Perfor 2002 25	mance Data 2003	3
CTATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7 Percent of two year old children screened for lead. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato	2000 e 5% r 6.5 r 1,409	Annual (2001 20% 2.4	Objective and Perfor 2002 25 2.6 283	2003 30 1.9	1.
Percent of two year old children screened for lead. Annual Performance Objective	2000 5% 7 6.5 7 1,409 7 21,734	Annual 6 2001 20% 2.4 259	Objective and Perfor 2002 25 2.6 283	2003 30 1.9 2003	1. 1.
Percent of two year old children screened for lead. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	2000 5% 7 6.5 7 1,409 7 21,734	Annual 6 2001 20% 2.4 259	Objective and Perfor 2002 25 2.6 283	2003 30 1.9 203 10,798	1. 15 10,79
Percent of two year old children screened for lead. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	2000 5% 7 6.5 7 1,409 7 21,734	Annual (2001) 20% 2.4 259 10,798	Objective and Perfor 2002 25 2.6 283	2003 30 1.9 203 10,798 Provisional	1. 15 10,79
Percent of two year old children screened for lead. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	2000 5% 7 6.5 7 1,409 7 21,734	Annual (2001) 20% 2.4 259 10,798	Objective and Perfor 2002 25 2.6 283 10,798	2003 30 1.9 203 10,798 Provisional	1. 15 10,79
Percent of two year old children screened for lead. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	2000 2 5% 3 6.5 4 1,409 4 21,734	2001 20% 2.4 259 10,798	Objective and Perfor 2002 25 2.6 283 10,798 Objective and Perfor 2007	2003 30 1.9 203 10,798 Provisional	15 10,79 Provisional
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 5% 7 6.5 7 1,409 21,734 2005 2005	Annual (2006 2.4 259 10,798 Annual (2006)	Objective and Perfor 2002 25 2.6 283 10,798 Objective and Perfor 2007	2003 30 1.9 203 10,798 Provisional 2008 55	1 10,75 Provisional
Percent of two year old children screened for lead. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	2000 5% 7 6.5 7 1,409 7 21,734	Annual 6 2001 20% 2.4 259	Objective and Perfor 2002 25 2.6 283	2003 30 1.9 203 10,798	

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8					
Percent of Medicaid eligible children who receive dental services a	part of their compreh	nensive services.			
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Object		30		32	3
	or 23.9	23.0		23.4	23.
Numera	or 12,754	12,327	14,123	14,649	14,70
Denomina	or 53,457	53,594	59,578	62,629	62,62
Is the Data Provisional or Fina	d?			Final	Provisional
	2005	Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2008	2009
Annual Parformance Object		2006		2008	2009
Annual Performance Object			23		
Annual Indica	Diagon fill in only th	L - Obia - 4i fa - 4b -			
Numora	I lease IIII III OIIIy t	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denomina	not required for fut	re Objectives for the ture year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9	or not required for fut	ure yéar data. Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9	or not required for fut or	Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002		2004
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9	or not required for fut or	ure yéar data. Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	2004
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Percent of pregnant women who abstain from cigarette smoking.	or not required for fut or 2000 ve 15%	Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Percent of pregnant women who abstain from cigarette smoking. Annual Performance Object Annual Indica	or not required for fut or 2000 ve 15%	Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 81 80.3	mance Data 2003 81	2004 8
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Percent of pregnant women who abstain from cigarette smoking. Annual Performance Object Annual Indica Numera	2000 ve 15% or 17.8	Annual 2001 81% 81.8 8,849	Objective and Perfor 2002 81 80.3 8,746	mance Data 2003 81 80.5	2004 80.
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Percent of pregnant women who abstain from cigarette smoking. Annual Performance Object Annual Indica Numera	2000 ve 15% or 17.8 or 1,935 or 10,862	Annual 2001 81% 81.8 8,849	Objective and Perfor 2002 81 80.3 8,746	mance Data 2003 81 80.5 9,077	2004 80. 9,18
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Percent of pregnant women who abstain from cigarette smoking. Annual Performance Object Annual Indica Numera Denomina	2000 ve 15% or 17.8 or 1,935 or 10,862	Annual 2001 81% 81.8 8,849	Objective and Perfor 2002 81 80.3 8,746	81 80.5 9,077	2004 80 9,18 11,37
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Percent of pregnant women who abstain from cigarette smoking. Annual Performance Object Annual Indica Numera Denomina	2000 ve 15% or 17.8 or 1,935 or 10,862	Annual 2001 81% 81.8 8,849 10,814	Objective and Perfor 2002 81 80.3 8,746 10,886 Objective and Perfor	81 80.5 9,077 11,276 Final	2004 80. 9,18 11,37 Provisional
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Percent of pregnant women who abstain from cigarette smoking. Annual Performance Object Annual Indica Numera Denomina Is the Data Provisional or Fina	2000 ve 15% or 17.8 or 1,935 or 10,862 al? 2005	Annual 2001 81% 81.8 8,849 10,814 Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002 81 80.3 8,746 10,886 Objective and Perfor 2007	81 80.5 9,077 11,276 Final mance Data 2008	2004 80 9,18 11,37 Provisional
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Percent of pregnant women who abstain from cigarette smoking. Annual Performance Object Annual Indica Numera Denomina Is the Data Provisional or Fina	2000 ve 15% or 17.8 or 1,935 or 10,862 12.2 2005 ve 83	Annual 2001 81% 81.8 8,849 10,814	Objective and Perfor 2002 81 80.3 8,746 10,886 Objective and Perfor 2007	81 80.5 9,077 11,276 Final	2004 80 9,18 11,37 Provisional
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Percent of pregnant women who abstain from cigarette smoking. Annual Performance Object Annual Indica Numera Denomina Is the Data Provisional or Fina Annual Performance Object Annual Indica	2000 ve 15% or 17.8 or 1,935 or 10,862 al? 2005 ve 83	Annual 2001 81% 81.8 8,849 10,814 Annual 2006 84	Objective and Perfor 2002 81 80.3 8,746 10,886 Objective and Perfor 2007	81 80.5 9,077 11,276 Final mance Data 2008 86	2004 80 9,18 11,37 Provisional

Annual Indicator 7.9 8.4 7.4 6.3 5. Numerator 16 17 15 12 1 Denominator 202,571 202,571 202,571 191,522 191,522 Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Provisional Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	te of firearm deaths among youth aged 5-19.				_	
Annual Performance Objective 5.7 7.9% 7.7 7.4 7.4 Annual Indicator 7.9 8.4 7.4 6.3 5. Numerator 16 17 15 12 1 Denominator 202,571 202,571 202,571 191,522 191,52 Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Provisional Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009				-		
Annual Indicator 7.9 8.4 7.4 6.3 5. Numerator 16 17 15 12 1 Denominator 202,571 202,571 202,571 191,522 191,522 Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Provisional Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009		2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Numerator 16 17 15 12 1 Denominator 202,571 202,571 202,571 191,522 191,522 Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Provisional Annual Objective and Performance Data 2009	Annual Performance Objective	5.7	7.9%	7.7	7.4	7.2
Denominator 202,571 202,571 202,571 191,522 191,522 Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Annual Indicator	7.9	8.4	7.4	6.3	5.
Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	Numerator	16	17	15	12	1
Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	Denominator	202,571	202,571	202,571	191,522	191,52
2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Final	Provisional
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
Annual Performance Objective 7.0 6.8 6.6 6.4 6.		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
	Annual Performance Objective	7.0	6.8	6.6	6.4	6.5

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Prior year data used incorrect denominator and numerator -- was reporting total births and total screened, which is NOT what was required here.

Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Two cases of galactosemia were referred for case management by nurse consultants in Children's Special Health Services.

Mandatory tests in MT = PKU, Galactosemia, Congenital Hypothyroidism, hemoglobinopathies. Optional tests available = Cystic Fibrosis,

· Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia, Biotinidase Deficiency*, · Acylcarnitine Profile

Fatty Acid Oxidation Disorders

§ Medium Chain Acyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency § 3-Hydroxyacyl CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency

§ Very Long Chain Acyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency

§ Short Chain Acyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency

Carnitine Palmitoyltransferase Deficiency § Glutaric Acidemia Type II

§ 2,4 Dienoyl-CoA Reductase Deficiency

§ Trifunctional Protein

§ Isobutyryl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency

§ Short Chain Hydroxy Acyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency

§ Carnitine Translocase Deficiency

§ Carnitine Uptake Deficiency

o Organic Acidemia Disorders

§ Glutaryl CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency Type I

§ Propionyl CoA Carboxylase Deficiency

§ Methylmalonic Acidemia (mutase, Cbl A and Cbl B, Cbl C and Cbl D)

§ Isovaleryl CoA Dehydrogenase § 3-Methylcrontonyl CoA Carboxylase Deficiency

§ Mitochondrial Acetoacetyl CoA Thiolase Deficiency

§ 3-Hydroxy-3-Methylglutaryl-CoA Lyase Deficiency

§ Malonic Acidemia

§ 3-Methylglutaconyl CoA Hydratase Deficiency

§ Medium Chain Hydroxy Acyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency

§ Medium Chain 3-Ketoacyl-CoA Thiolase Deficiency

§ 2-Methylbutyryl CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency

§ Multiple Carboxylase Deficiency

§ 2-Methyl-3-Hydroxybutyryl CoA Dehydrogenase

Aminoacidopathies* (tested by Tandem Mass Spectrometry - MS/MS) (CPT code: 82136, cost \$4.25)

o Maple Syrup Urine Disease

o Homocystinuria

o Citrullinemia

o Arginninosuccinic Acidemia

Tyrosinemia (type I, II, III)

Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 **Row Name:**

Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004

Reporting on this performance measure is unchanged. Ongoing client satisfaction surveys are conducted at Pediatric Specialty Clinics. The Billings regional clinic site reports a 98.19% satisfaction rating on being involved in decisions and being listened to during clinic visits. This sample is small and therefore not representative of the cshcn population in general. This number will be modified in 2005 to represent a larger sampling of cshcn clients.

Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

8. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Number is calculated on 12.8% of populaton under age 18 x CSHS program information for cshcn with medical home.

With the continued focus on coordination of care within the medical home by programs such as EPSDT, this number is expected to continue to increase.

Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Numbers based on active CSHS clients 2004 with a health payment source. Number doesn't include CSHS as a payment source. Adequacy is not measured, but would probably lower this rate. Montana's overall uninsured rate for children 0-18 is 17%.

12. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State value noted.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

No change has been made in the data from previous year.

This TA is being requested. Transition issues are discussed on-going in the multidisciplinary Regional Pediatric Specialty Clinics on a provider- to-CYSHCN/family basis covering the issues faced at the appropriate developmental stages. CSHS has established a collaborative relationship with the Office of Public Instruction and Vocational Rehabilitation with a goal of exploring how this information might be attained and how to improve transition services.

18. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The numerator and denominator data for this NPM have been changed for all years to reflect the correct number of third grade children who have received protective sealants on at least one permanent molar tooth in relationship to the US Census counts of the entire population of Montana 8 year olds.

19. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Incomplete data - only 280 entered in at time of MCHBG submission.

20. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data of deaths of children taken from the 2003 annual statistical accounting of deaths by reason. The category identified was for children under 14 that died in a motor vehicle accident.

The population is the July 1, 2004 census data for all age cohorts obtained from the Montana Dept. of Commerce census information tables.

21. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

/2004/ We do know that the 2001 figures concerning breastfeeding data are questionable. On examination, the fields which should contain the breastfeeding data were blank in the state office database. In other words, the information was not uploaded to the system. There may also have been a number of records with incorrect information if they were not updated. The information appears to be present in the local agency databases. The absence of or error in data could have been caused by a corruption in a file either at the local agency level or during uploading. We decided to just allow the system to overwrite the records as they were updated (normal process) rather than attempt to locate and fix all of those with missing or incorrect data. //2004//

22. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Total number of WIC children under aged two is denominator

Number of WIC infants ever breastfed is numerator

23. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The trend analysis for 2000-2004 revealed continued decreases in the percent of children receiving services, and the true percentage for 2010 at approximately 75%. MCH has limited control over this program and subsequent performance measurement, including the actual yearly indicators and future projections.

24. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Death information is NOT AVAILABLE from our Office of Vital Statistics at this time. A data system upgrade data conversion process resulted in loss of death statistics for 2003. These data will be updated in next year's MCHBG Report submission.

25. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Due to small numbers affecting the variability of the indicators and subsequent projections, the following procedure was used to correct the small number problem for this performance measurement.

The mean number of youth suicides from 2000 to 2004 was 14.3 per 100,000. Given a 1/3 reduction in suicides with the onset of grant money applied for in 2005 (not yet awarded), the expected rate would be approximately 10 deaths per 100,000 youth. If the grant is not awarded, the average mean rate of 14.3 would be more realistic due to limited current funding for the program (\$50,000).

26. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Trend analysis from 2000 to 2004 indicated an actual decrease in the percent of very low birth weight deliveries, with a 2010 projection of 75.12%. Projections were reset to accommodate for that downward trend in deliveries.

27. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Trend analysis was completed for this measurement.

28. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The denominator is total Title X clinic clients. The numerator is the total of these clients with unintended pregnancies.

29. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The denominator is total Title X clinic clients. The numerator is the total of these clients with unintended pregnancies.

2009 Revised trend would be 60.6. We recognize challenges with decreasing this unintended rate, which is impacted by factors other than health care access.

30. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

/2004/ In 2001 we changed the reporting requirement we now are reporting the % of women who abstained from alcohol use instead of reporting the percent who used

alcohol . //2004//

31. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

National WIC goal is 50% by 2010. Trend analysis suggests percentage may be going down, however.

32. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

/2004/ This performance measure has been changed because it isn't feasible for counties with small populations to establish their own teams. Counties are now allowed to partner with established FICMR review teams to accomplish their reviews. //2004//

/2004/ In 2002, Montana changed the reporting from the percent of counties participating in FICMR to the percent of deaths reviewed, which was a more accurate indicator of what we are trying to do. //2004//

/2004/ Data is for CY 2001. 2002 data will not be complete until 2004.

33. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

FICMR reviews are always performed retrospectively, and in most case 6-12 months after the deaths. 2002 data was recently finalized, with a noted change in the data as reported last year—Corrections are: Annual indicator-91%, Numerator-184, Denominator-202. 2003 data will not be completed until January 2005. Annual performance objective of 95% may not be attainable because some child deaths are transfers from out of state facilities.

34. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Provisional data until next report year.

5. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

/2004/ The survey question for this data source changed in 2003. The 2004 report includes actual reporting of those using a standardized domestic violence screening tool. The 2003 survey reported clients screened for domestic violence. The advisory council will determine how to best address the question and/or increase standardized tool

usage. //2004//

36. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

There is no standard tool in the field to collect this data so the data available is unreliable.

37. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

/2004/ Activities related to this Performance Measure have been suspended due to elimination of funding. The Bureau's Advisory Council will decide whether to change or discontinue this Performance Measure before the next report. //2004//

38. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Trend analysis for 2000-2004 suggests the projection rate for 2010 remains stable and constant.

39. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

/2004/ In 2001 we changed the reporting requirement to reflect the percent of women who abstained from tobacco use instead of reporting the percent who used tobacco. //2004//

40. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data for 2003 are unavailable at this time due to data conversion difficulties from one death records system to another in our Office of Vital Statistics. Data will be updated in next year's MCHBG Report.

UPDATE: Although we now have the incidence of firearm deaths for youth aged 5-19, which is 12, we DO NOT have the denominator of all children aged 5-19 due to delays in receipt of this information from NCHS and the Census Bureau-a format. Therefore, a rate cannot yet be determined and the data remain provisional until next reporting period.

41. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The breakdown in 2004 is:

4 deaths 15-17 7 deaths 18-19

The denominator did not change from 2003 because census updates for this breakdown have not been done.

FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: MT

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03					
he neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		4.5	4	3.8	3.
Annual Indicator	3.5	3.6	4.4	3.3	2.
Numerator	38	39	48	37	3
Denominator	10,809	10,814	10,886	11,278	11,37
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		A	Oliveria en la Berria		
	2005	<u>Annuai</u> 2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective		3		3	2000
Annual Indicator	Diamentill in automate	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numera		d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ure year data.			d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	2004
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data. Annual 2001 2.6	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.3	mance Data 2003 2.2	2004 2.
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual 2001 2.6 2.2	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.3 2.3 25	2003 2.2 2.9	2004 1.
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 2.61 1.9 20 10,809	Annual 2001 2.6 2.2	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.3 2.3 25	2003 2.2 2.9	
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.61 1.9 20 10,809	Annual 2001 2.6 2.2 24 10,814	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.3 2.3 25 10,886	2003 2.2 2.9 33 11,278 Final	2004 2. 1. 1 11,37
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.61 1.9 20,809	Annual 2001 2.6 2.2 24 10,814	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.3 2.3 25 10,886	2003 2.2 2.9 33 11,278 Final	2004 2. 1. 11,37 Provisional
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 the postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2.61 1.9 20 10,809	Annual 2001 2.6 2.2 24 10,814 Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.3 2.3 25 10,886 Objective and Perfor 2007	2003 2.2 2.9 33 11,278 Final Tmance Data 2008	2004 2. 1. 11,37 Provisional
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective	2000 2.61 1.9 20,809 2005	Annual 2001 2.6 2.2 24 10,814	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.3 2.3 25 10,886 Objective and Perfor 2007	2003 2.2 2.9 33 11,278 Final	2004 2. 1. 11,37 Provisional
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2.61 1.9 20 10,809	Annual 2001 2.6 2.2 24 10,814 Annual 2006 2	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.3 2.3 25 10,886 Objective and Perfor 2007	2003 2.2 2.9 33 11,278 Final Tmance Data 2008 2	2004 2 1 11,37 Provisional 2009

OUTCOME MEASURE # 05					
he perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		8	6	5.8	5.
	5.7	4.7	7.1	8.1	4
Numerator	62	51	78	92	
Denominator	10,809	10,877	10,937	11,333	11,41
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	manaa Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective		5	4.8	4.6	4
Annual Indicator					
	Diamentili in andre the	e Objectives for the	shove years. Numerat	tar Danaminataran	
Numorator	i loude illi ili erily ti	ie Objectives for the a	above years. Numera	ior, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numera	ior, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numeral	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numeral	ior, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numeral	or, benominator and	d Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futu	ure year data.	Objective and Perfor		d Annual Indicators
JTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futu	ure year data.			2004
TCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for future	Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
PITCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective	not required for future	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
DITCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000	Annual 0 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 15	mance Data 2003	2004 50
DITCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 15 31.4	Annual (2001 15 23.9 42	2002 15 20.0 35	mance Data 2003 15 NaN	2004 50
JTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 15 31.4 55 175,398	Annual (2001 15 23.9 42	2002 15 20.0 35	mance Data 2003 15 NaN 0	2004 1 8
JTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 15 31.4 55 175,398	Annual (2001 15 23.9 42 175,398	2002 15 20.0 35 175,398	mance Data 2003 15 NaN 0 Final	2004 1 50. 8 175,39
DEFINITIATION JTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 15 31.4 55 175,398	Annual (2001 15 23.9 42 175,398	2002 15 20.0 35 175,398 Dbjective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 15 NaN 0 Final	2004 50 8 175,38 Provisional
JTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 15 31.4 55 175,398	Annual C 2001 15 23.9 42 175,398 Annual C 2006	2002 15 20.0 35 175,398 Dbjective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 15 NaN 0 Final mance Data 2008	2004 50. 8 175,39 Provisional
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 15 31.4 55 175,398	Annual (2001 15 23.9 42 175,398	2002 15 20.0 35 175,398 Dbjective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 15 NaN 0 Final	2004 1
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 15 31.4 55 175,398 2005	Annual (2001 15 23.9 42 175,398 Annual (2006 15	2002 15 20.0 35 175,398 Dbjective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 15 NaN 0 Final mance Data 2008 15	2004 50 8 175,33 Provisional

		Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	7	7	7	7	
Annual Indicator	9.9	7.6	8.7	6.5	6.
Numerator	13	10	12	9	
Denominator	1,314	1,314	1,376	1,386	1,37
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
			_	_	

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Montana's death data are unavailable at this time due to a data conversion error between the legacy death records system and an upgrade. Data will be reporte in next year's MCHBG report.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

//2004/ Small numbers in Montana will continue to result in variability in indicator reporting. //2004//

3. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Montana's death data are unavailable at this time due to a data conversion error between the legacy death records system and an upgrade. Data will be reporte in next

year's MCHBG report

4. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

/2004/ Small numbers in Montana will continue to result in variability in indicator reporting. //2004//

Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Montana's death data are unavailable at this time due to a data conversion error between the legacy death records system and an upgrade. Data will be reporte in next

year's MCHBG report

6. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

/2004/ Small numbers in Montana will continue to result in variability in indicator reporting. //2004//

7. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Montana's death data are unavailable at this time due to a data conversion error between the legacy death records system and an upgrade. Data will be reporte in next

year's MCHBG report

8. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5 Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002

Field Note:

/2004/ Small numbers in Montana will continue to result in variability in indicator reporting. //2004//

9. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Montana's death data are unavailable at this time due to a data conversion error between the legacy death records system and an upgrade. Data will be reporte in next

year's MCHBG report

10. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

/2004/ Small numbers in Montana will continue to result in variability in indicator reporting. //2004//

Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003

Field Note:

Montana's death data was unavailable at the time of reporting due to a data conversion error between the legacy death records system and an upgrade. Legacy data is not available for that year.

12. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Montana's death data was unavailable at the time of reporting due to a data conversion error between the legacy death records system and an upgrade. Legacy data is not

13. Section Number: State Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: SO1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Montana's death data are unavailable at this time due to a data conversion error between the legacy death records system and an upgrade. Data will be reporte in next year's MCHBG report

CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: MT 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 2 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 2 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 0 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 2 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 0 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 0 Total Score: 6 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met 3 = Completely Met

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main Field Name: Question2

Row Name: #2. Financial support (...) is offered for parent activities or parent groups.

Column Name Year: 2006 Field Note:

Consumer representatives are reimbursed for travel to participate in CSHS AC meetings. Also in 2005, a parent was reimbursed for attending a PKU camp in Colorado and a teen client to PKU camp in Oregon.

FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: MT FY: 2006

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase ,list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. Increase access to health care for MCH populations, including children with special health care needs.
- 2. Promote and improve oral health services for the MCH populations.
- 3. Reduce the rate of intentional injuries in MCH populations, including, but not limited to the incidence of domestic violence and youth suicide.
- 4. Promote and support families to raise children in safe and nurturing environments.
- 5. Reduce the rates of preventable illness in children and adolescents i.e. obesity and vaccine preventable illnesses.
- 6. Prevent substance use in MCH populations.
- 7. Promote access to mental health services for the MCH population.
- 8. Promote efforts to continue to decrease the incidence of unintended pregnancies.
- 9.
- 10.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: MT APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Strategic Planning for MCH System planning for next five year period.	Completed Needs Assessment. Based on findings, seek to revise strategic plan with input from FCHB Advisory Council, FCHB staff and local partners and consumers.	Holly Grason
	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:	Adolescent Health Service System development	Coordination of state, regional and national efforts on adolescent health	AMCHP
	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	CSHCN on performance measurement	Assist the program to establish measurement criteria and tools for the CSHCN PMs. Interested in consultation that will allow us to develop Montana specific monitoring tools and accompanying methodology for using those toolds	No potential contractor IDd to date
	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Perinatal Depression	The impact of depresson on the perinatal populatin is of grea concern. Mechanisms to collection population based info in order to better assess the need.	No potential contractor IDd to date
	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Montana specific Adolescent Health Needs Assessment	Enhanced aspect of #2. Will focus on Montana's needs, and implement the System Capacity Tool for th Adolescent Health Program.	Kristin Teipel, Minnesota
	Data-related Issues - Performance Indicators If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Investigate mechanisms to access hospital and insurance record information for data use without requiring statutory authority	HSCI include the necessity for hospital discharge data which Montana does not have	No potential contractor IDd to date
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the			

measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: MT

SP	#	1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of unintended pregnancy.

STATUS:

GOAL Reduce unintended pregnancy.

DEFINITION A pregnancy that had not been wanted at the time conception occurred, irrespective of whether or not contraception was

being used. Among unintended pregnancies, a distinction is made between mistimed and unwanted: Mistimed conceptions are those that were wanted by the woman at some time, but which occurred sooner than they were wanted; and Unwanted conceptions are those that occurred when the woman did not want to have any more pregnancies at all.

Numerator:

Number of unintended pregnancies in the family planning clinic population.

Denominator:

Number of pregnancies in the family planning clinic population.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

The data is from the family planning clinic visit record (CVR) which is completed on all patient visits. The CVR reports **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

whether the patient "wanted a child, but not at this time" (I.e. a mistimed pregnancy) or "Didn't want any (more) children." (i.e. an unwanted pregnancy). Significance data from the IOM, "The Best Intentions: Unintended Pregnancy and the Well-Being of Children and Families," 1995.

SIGNIFICANCE Unintended pregnancy (estimated at 60% of all pregnancies) affects all segments of society. The consequences are

serious: A mother with an unintended pregnancy is more likely to seek prenatal care after the first trimester or not to obtain care, is more likely to expose the fetus to harmful substances such as tobacco or alcohol, and is at greater risk of physical abuse herself. A child of an unwanted conception is at greater risk of being low birthweight, of dying in its first year of life, of being abused, and of not receiving sufficient resources for healthy development. Both mother and father may suffer economic hardship and fail to achieve their educational and career goals. Unintended pregnancy also leads to

approximately 1.5 million abortions in the United States annually, a ratio of about one abortion to every three live births -- a

ratio two to four times higher than that in many other Western democracies.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women who abstain from alcohol use in pregnancy.

STATUS:

Increase the percent of women who abstain from alcohol use during pregnancy to ultimately decrease the incidence of in GOAL

utero alcohol exposure of the infant

DEFINITION We are measuring the percent of women who abstain from alcohol use during pregnancy as reported on the birth certificate.

We realize that alcohol use during pregnancy, as reported on the birth certificate is self reported, and thus is under reported.

However, this is the only data source we have to measure this performance measure

Number of pregnant women who abstain from alcohol use during pregnancy as reported on the birth certificate.

Denominator:

Number of Montana births plus fetal deaths.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Alcohol use during pregnancy is reported on the SAQ, DV survey, birth certificates, MIAMI I & Os, WIC, and BRFSS. The **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

birth certificate is the only data source we have in MT that represents all of the births in MT. The other data sources are

either anonymous, or represent a only portion of the pregnancies and births in our state

Consumption of alcohol during pregnancy has been associated with reduction in birth weight and intellectual impariment, CNS involvement, facial deformity, small head circumfrence, brain malformation and intrauterine growth retardation **SIGNIFICANCE**

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of "WIC" infants who are breastfed at six months.

STATUS: Active

To increase the percentage of infants served by WIC in Montana who have had breastfeeding initiated, to 75% and increase GOAL

the percentage of infants who are breastfed for a duration exceeding six months to over 50%.

This will be determined based on responses from WIC participants. Information is captured by age group of the child and **DEFINITION**

duration of breastfeeding. The information is available quarterly from the CDC Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System

(Table 1C).

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Numerator:}\\ \textbf{Duration of breastfeeding (> 1 day, 1 week, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 months)} \end{tabular}$

Denominator:Number of WIC participants administered the questionnaire.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

CDC Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (Table 1C).

SIGNIFICANCE

TRhe advantages of breastfeeding include nutritional, immunological, and psychological benefits to both infant and mother, as well as economic benefits. Breast milk is the optimal food for infants and the only food an infant needs for the first 4-6

months of life.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of state fetal/infant/child deaths reviewed for preventability by local review teams.

STATUS:

Identify why infants and children die in Montana and recommendation of system changes in Montana to prevent further GOAL

DEFINITION Percent of state fetal/infant/child deaths reviewed by local teams for preventability.

Number of fetal, infant and child deaths reviewed by local teams in MT as evidenced by the number of data forms submitted

by local review teams to DPHHS

Denominator:

Number of fetal, infant and child deaths in Montana obtained from the death certificates in vital stats.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Counties reviewing deaths complete and submit standardized Fetal Infant and Child Mortality Review forms to CACH and **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

information is entered into a data system. Fetal, infant and child death certificates from vital stats are also a data source

SIGNIFICANCE Vital Statistics on fetal, infant and child deaths don't identify the circumstances of death and what can be done to prevent

future deaths. The local multi disciplinary review teams meet and discuss circumstances of the death and prevention of future deaths, which provides much more detail and prevention is targeted to that specific community

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of facilities using standardized domestic violence screening tool as part of care assessment and planning.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Include screening for domestic violence as a standard of care for MCH funded programs.

DEFINITIONThere are numerous brief (2-5 question) questionnaires concerning domestic violence, already in existence, that can be

utilized. MCH funding = Title V, Title X or USDA (WIC) funds MCH service = health programs providing direct, or other

services to women using MCH funds including

Numerator:

Number of contracted facilities receiving MCH funding who screen for domestic violence using standard tools.

Denominator:

Number of contracted facilities.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

Domestic violence against women is pervasive in the United States. It is prevalent in all racial, ethnic and socio-economic groups. It has been estimated that a woman is physically abused every 12 seconds in our country making domestic violence a major public health problem. Women in domestic violent relationships cannot be afforded support and care unless they are identified. The development of a measurement tool to determine the frequency of domestic violence will not only provide the measure but will also result in the public health workers in MCH settings to gain a better familiarity with this problem as well as stimulate them to identify resources to assist the victims.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of two year old children screened for lead.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To screen 20% of two year old children.

DEFINITION

Numerator: Number of two year old children screened.

Denominator:

Total number of 2 year old children.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Program will begin with new Centers for Disease Control and Prevention funding in July 1998. Some data exists, however a true baseline for blood lead levels for children has not been established. **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

Montana has a large proportion of pre-1950's housing in which testing has not occurred. Furthermore, low income WIC SIGNIFICANCE

clients have potentially higher blood lead levels.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of Medicaid eligible children who receive dental services as part of their comprehensive services.

STATUS: Active

To improve dental health of Medicaid-eligible children through increased access to dental services. GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:Number of children to age 21 who have received dental services as part of their comprehensive services.

Denominator:

Estimated number of children to age 21 who are potentially eligible by state definition, for Medicaid at the end of the fiscal

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Sources and issues are similar to performance measure 13, "Percent of potentially Medicaid eligible children who have received a service paid by the Medicaid Program." From July 1, 1995 to June 30, 1996, 15,719 Medicaid child patients out of 43,970 average eligibles received dental care for a 36%. From July 1, 1996 to June 30, 1997, the percentage was also

SIGNIFICANCE Medicaid eligible children are not always able to access dental care. Barriers to access limit dental care and impact the

health of Medicaid eligible children in a negative manner.

SP#____9

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of pregnant women who abstain from cigarette smoking.

STATUS: Active

Goal Decrease the incidence of low birthweight and other adverse outcomes and infant mortality related to tobacco use by

pregnant women.

DEFINITION -

Numerator:

Number of pregnant women who report not smoking.

Denominator:

Number of Montana births and fetal deaths.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Birth certificates.

SIGNIFICANCE

Maternal smoking during pregnancy is a risk factor for low birthweight, the leading cause of infant mortality. Smoking during pregnancy is also a significant risk facor for SAB and stillbirths. Parental smoking can also have long-term effects on a child's growth, intelligence and behavior. Further, infants exposed to cigarette smoke before and/or afater birth are 2 to 3

times more likely to die from SIDS.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Rate of firearm deaths among youth aged 5-19.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Reduce by 5% the number of firearm related deaths among youths aged 5-19 years of age.

DEFINITION

Numerator: Total number of population aged 5-19 who have died of firearm deaths.

Denominator:

Total population of youth in Montana age 5-19.

Units: 100000 Text: 0.01%

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

1) Montana Census and Economic Center 2) Montana Trauma Registry 3) National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; and 4) national Center for Prevention and Control of Injury. **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

1. In children under 15, 35-40% of firearm deaths are unintentional. 2. Among adolescents 15-19 years of age, one in every **SIGNIFICANCE** four deaths is caused by a firearm. For this age group, the risk of dying from a firearm injury has increased by 77% since 1975. 3. In 1990, firearm injuries cost over \$20.4 billion in direct costs for hospital and other medical care, and in direct

costs for long-term disabilities and premature deaths. 4. at least 80% of the economic costs of treating firearm injuries are

paid for by tax dollars.

SO# 1

OUTCOME MEASURE: Native American Infant Mortality Rate

STATUS: Active

GOAL The Native American infant mortality rate will be no higher than the White infant mortality rate

DEFINITION

Numerator: Number of Native American infant deaths.

Denominator:

Number of Native American births. Units: 1000 Text: Rate per thousand

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Vital records collected by state.

SIGNIFICANCE

All countries of the world measure the infant mortality rate as an indicator of general health status. The U.S. has made progress in reducing this rate, but the rate of decline has slowed. In Montana, as in the nation as a whole, there continues to be signifi8cant racial disparity.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: MT

HEALTH SYSTEMS	CAPACITY	MEASURE # ()1
----------------	----------	-------------	----

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	0.4	0.4	14.2	11.1	14.9		
Numerator	2	2	78	61	82		
Denominator	54,869	54,869	54,869	54,869	54,869		
Provisional or Final?	,			Final	Provisional		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02

Is the Data P

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	78.5	98.0	84.3	87.0	87.8
Numerator	3,450	4,604	4,077	4,298	4,539
Denominator	4,397	4,698	4,836	4,943	5,172
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	NaN	NaN	100.0	100.0	100.0
Numerator	0	0	1	1	1
Denominator	0	0	1	1	1
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	78.7	72.7	78.4	80.2	81.3
Numerator	8,503	7,867	8,529	9,031	9,250
Denominator	10,809	10,814	10,873	11,257	11,378
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 07

The percent of EPSDT eligible children aged 6 through 9 years who have received any dental services during the year.

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	29.0	34.4	34.5	34.1	32.5
Numerator	3,109	3,693	3,703	3,849	3,849
Denominator	10,731	10,731	10,731	11,276	11,860
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08

The percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receiving rehabilitative services from the State Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) Program.

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	1.9	2.0	2.1	0.8	1.0
Numerator	35	31	33	12	18
Denominator	1,830	1,570	1,600	1,555	1,892
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

Data is not available for this measure. In the past we have calculated the numbe of chip in our program who have a payment source for healther care and used that number to calculate numbe of chip in our program who have a service.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

/2004/ Pre-2002 data obtained from Montana Hospital Association. Current and future data will be obtained from Medicaid paid claims using consistent diagnosis codes. Data is dependent on correct use of diagnosis codes by providers. Therefore, actual incidence is likely to be underreported. //2004//

2. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Checking on availability of these data for 2000

3. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

/2004/ Montana CHIP does not collect this information. //2004//

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

These data are NOT available in Montana

5. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A report of the CHIP data base children by age and by procedure codes is not available and is not feasible to program a new report in time to submit with the annual submission of MCHBG. In addition the number of children under one year is not available on the state level vital statistics. Data entered is not correct.

6. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

/2004/ The Center for Medicaid Services notified states that they had done changes to the criteria for this program and that numbers could be re-submitted for Fiscal Year 2000. The numerator for 2000 has been updated to reflect this change in data. The relatively low percent of children receiving dental service reflects the effects of loss of dental services in Montana and the low Medicaid reimbursement rate for providers. //2004//

7. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

In reviewing this standard, it was noted that the CSHCN program calculated the annual indicator based on the number of medicaid children recieving rehab services through Title V as the number participating in CSHS rehab clinics. This does not match the informational guidance requesting the degree to which Title V provides something that Medicaid does not cover. Data in 2003 has been changed to reflect the meaning of the standard. The annual indicator reflects the comprehensiveness of the Montana Medicaid program for children with special health care needs. CSHS has provided assistance only for out of state lab services to diagnosis rare genetic conditions.

8. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This indicator is essentially unchanged for 2004. It will change significantly in 2005, due to the capacity CSHS has developed to provide resource and referral information to this population. Medicaid coverage for eligible applicants continues to provide for rehabilitative services. In addition, CSHS is providing resource information to SSI applicants who are not deemed eligible for SSI.

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: MT

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity	YEAR DATA SOURCE		POPULATION			
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL	
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2004	Other	45.5	54.5	7.6	
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2004	Other	1.9	2.2	4.1	
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2004	Other	45.5	54.5	82.8	
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2004	Other	16.7	83.3	72.6	

FORM 18
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL)
STATE: MT

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	133_
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 5) (Age range 6 to 18) (Age range to)	2004	133 100
c) Pregnant Women	2004	133

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: MT

YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
2004	150
2004	150
2004	<u>150</u>
	2004

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 18

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP

Field Name: SCHIP_Women Row Name: Pregnant Women

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Pregnant women are not covered by SCHIP in Montana unless they are 18 or under and meet the income requirement.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: LowBirthWeight

Row Name: Percent of ow birth weight (<2,500 grams)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data provided from birth certificate data which does not identify the payor. Use general Medicaid population vs state population and assumed the occurance between Medicaid and non-Medicaid would represent the population

The total number of low birth weight babies for Montana was 866/11378 (per vital statistics) for 2004 (7.6% of the total). The total percentage of low birth weight babies on Medicaid is estimated to be 45.5%, with non-Medicaid estimated at 54.5%, exactly the estimates reported for HSCI05c, but the total percentages are different to reflect the population-affected differences.

3. Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: InfantDeath

Row Name: Infant deaths per 1,000 live births

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data derived from Birth Certificate System There is not an identification of a payor on the certificate

The total number of infant deaths for 2004 was 4.13 per 1,000. Vital statistics gave us a numerator of 47 infant deaths out of 11,378. Using the proportion generated from HSCl05c (45.5% Medicaid), this proportion was applied to the 4.13 deaths per 1,000 births, giving us a non-Medicaid infant death rate of 2.25 per 1,000 and a Medicaid death rate of 1.88 per 1,000.

Section Number: Indicator 05
 Field Name: CareFirstTrimester

Row Name: Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The proportion of infants born in 2004 paid for by Medicaid was known by a Medicaid pull done in house (n=5174). The percentage of all MT women reported as having prenatal care in the first trimester is 82.8% for the state (County Profiles 2004). 5174*0.828=4284. The total birth cohort for 2004 is estimated at 11,378. 82.8% of 11,378=9,421. 4,284/9,421=45.5% Medicaid, 54.5% non-Medicaid.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: AdequateCare

Row Name: Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data derived from Birth Certificate information. The birth certificate does not capture whether the payor is Medicaid or not.

Percentages were derived as follows:

80% Kotelchuck percentage estimates taken from 2004 County Health Profiles * the birth cohort for 2004

72.6%*11,378=8,260

1,380 Medicaid-paid claims were pulled by staff from the Medicaid system equaling 16.7% of the total 8,260 cohort.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: MT

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner? (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	3	No
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	1	No
Annual birth defects surveillance system	3	Yes
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	2	Yes

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
 2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
 3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: MT

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	1	No
Other:		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	1	No
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	1	No
WIC Program Data	1	No
Other:		

*Where:

- 1 = No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 19

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: MT

HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01A					
TEALTH STATUS INDICATOR WIEASURE # VIA					
The percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator Da	ata .	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	6.2	6.8	6.8	6.7	7.6
Numerator	671	739	745	756	867
Denominator	10,809	10,814	10,886	11,276	11,378
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B					
he percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator Da	ata .	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	4.9	5.2	5.4	5.4	5.8
Numerator	518	547	566	596	637
Denominator	10,504	10,491	10,564	10,964	11,000
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.			Annual Indicator Da	at <u>a</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator		2001 1.2	2002 1.1	2003 1.0	
		1.2	1.1		2004 1.1 124
Numerator	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.1
Numerator	1.0 110 10,809	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.1
Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B	1.0 110 10,809	1.2	1.1	1.0 115 11,276	1.1 124 11,378
Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B	1.0 110 10,809	1.2 125 10,814	1.1 120 10,886	1.0 115 11,276 Final	1.1 124 11,378 Provisional
Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.	1.0 110 10,809	1.2 125 10,814	1.1 120 10,886 Annual Indicator Da 2002	1.0 115 11,276 Final	1.1 124 11,378 Provisional
Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams. Annual Indicator	1.0 110 10,809 2000	1.2 125 10,814 2001	1.1 120 10,886 Annual Indicator Da 2002	1.0 115 11,276 Final	1.1 124 11,378 Provisional
Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.	1.0 110 10,809 2000	1.2 125 10,814 2001	1.1 120 10,886 Annual Indicator Da 2002	1.0 115 11,276 Final	1.1 124 11,378 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Final

Numerator	17.2 32 186,130		2002 10.7	2003	2004
Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	32 186,130		10.7	9.8	11.
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	186,130	10	20	17	2
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		186,130	186,130	173,883	173,88
ALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03B		100,100	100,100	Final	Provisional
	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	2003	2004
Annual Indicator			5.4	5.8	5.:
	17	·		10	
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?		186,130	186,130	173,883 Final	173,88 Provisional
ALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C				T ITCI	FIOVISIONAL
ALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	ata 2003	2004
e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve	2000 34.7	2001	Annual Indicator Day 2002 46.3	ata	
e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 34.7 45	2001	Annual Indicator Day 2002 46.3 60	ata 2003 45.6	2004 41.

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

,	0 0		Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	575.4	565.7	487.8	483.5	461.5
Numerator	1,071	1,053	908	900	859
Denominator	186,130	186,130	186,130	186,130	186,130
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C					
e rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among youth aged	15 through 24 years.			
	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
Annual Indicator		2,807.5	2,508.3	2,392.6	2,461.3
	3,483	3,641	3,253	3,103	3,19
		129,689	129,689	129,689	129,68
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
				Final	Final
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A				Final	Final
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A	case of chlamydia.		Annual Indicator Da	ata	
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A be rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	case of chlamydia.	2001	2002	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	2000 15.1	18.8	23.9	ata 2003 28.4	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A see rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 15.1 522	18.8 648	2002 23.9 825	ata 2003 28.4 981	2004 22.
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 15.1 522 34,521	18.8 648	2002 23.9 825	ata 2003 28.4	2004 22.3
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A e rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 15.1 522 34,521	18.8 648	2002 23.9 825	2003 28.4 981 34,521	2004 22. 77 34,52
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A e rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 15.1 522 34,521	18.8 648	2002 23.9 825	2003 28.4 981 34,521	2004 22. 77 34,52
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 15.1 522 34,521	18.8 648	23.9 825 34,521	2003 28.4 981 34,521 Final	2004 22.: 77(34,52
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 15.1 522 34,521	18.8 648	2002 23.9 825	2003 28.4 981 34,521 Final	2004 22.: 77(34,52
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? REALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of th	2000 15.1 522 34,521 case of chlamydia.	18.8 648 34,521	23.9 825 34,521	2003 28.4 981 34,521 Final	2004 22.5 770 34,52 Final
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	2000 15.1 522 34,521 case of chlamydia.	18.8 648 34,521	23.9 825 34,521 Annual Indicator Day 2002	2003 28.4 981 34,521 Final	2004 22.5 776 34,52 Final

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Final

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 20

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Montana's death data are unavailable at this time due to a data conversion error between the legacy death records system and an upgrade. Data will be reporte in next year's MCHBG report

2. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Montana's death data are unavailable at this time due to a data conversion error between the legacy death records system and an upgrade. Data will be reporte in next year's MCHBG report

3. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Montana's death data are unavailable at this time due to a data conversion error between the legacy death records system and an upgrade. Data will be reporte in next year's MCHBG report

4. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

/2004/ Montana does not have statutory authority to require reporting of hospital discharge data to the state health agency. Data for years 1998 through 2000 were obtained from the Montana Hospital Association. The cost of obtaining these data has become prohibitive and are not available for 2001 and 2002. National statistics are not appropriate for estimation of Montana's incidence. //2004//

5. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data are not available in Montana

6. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Montana does not have hospital discharge data needed to complete this HSI.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

/2004/ Department of Transportation data uses a calendar fiscal year so this number could change at the end of December 2003. Data will be updated as necessary in the next report. //2004//

8. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

/2004/ Department of Transportation data uses a calendar fiscal year so this number could change at the end of December 2003. Data will be updated as necessary in the next report. //2004//

STATE: MT

HSI #06A - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	10,732	8,900	54	1,174	42	4	448	110
Children 1 through 4	44,137	37,163	228	4,476	220	40	0	2,010
Children 5 through 9	61,963	52,774	285	6,092	332	38	0	2,442
Children 10 through 14	69,298	59,596	233	6,532	480	28	0	2,429
Children 15 through 19	71,310	62,287	273	5,972	377	43	0	2,358
Children 20 through 24	58,379	51,497	355	4,270	513	51	0	1,693
Children 0 through 24	315,819	272,217	1,428	28,516	1,964	204	448	11,042

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	10,293	439	0
Children 1 through 4	42,409	1,728	0
Children 5 through 9	60,006	1,957	0
Children 10 through 14	67,283	2,015	0
Children 15 through 19	69,332	1,978	0
Children 20 through 24	56,735	1,644	0
Children 0 through 24	306,058	9,761	0

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	7	1		3				3
Women 15 through 17	350	229	0	114		4		3
Women 18 through 19	869	611	4	247	0	7	0	0
Women 20 through 34	8,964	7,729	47	1,061	14	60		53
Women 35 or older	1,337	1,215	2	85	10	8	0	17
Women of all ages	11,527	9,785	53	1,510	24	79	0	76

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	4	0	
Women 15 through 17	327	23	
Women 18 through 19	825	44	
Women 20 through 34	8,686	280	
Women 35 or older	1,312	25	
Women of all ages	11,154	372	0

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	47	35		9			3	
Children 1 through 4	14	10		2	1		1	
Children 5 through 9	13	9		2			2	
Children 10 through 14	50	44		6				
Children 15 through 19	71	49	1	18			3	
Children 20 through 24	173	156		10			7	
Children 0 through 24	368	303	1	47	1	0	16	0

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	44	3	
Children 1 through 4	14		
Children 5 through 9	13		
Children 10 through 14	15		
Children 15 through 19	66		
Children 20 through 24	66		
Children 0 through 24	218	3	0

HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	602,581	257,441.0	220,220.0	1,073.0	24,246.0	153.0	0	99,448.0	2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	6.7								2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	0.7		0		0	0	0	0.7	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	71,774	71,774.0							2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	2,415	1,309.0	6.0	186.0	4.0	8.0		902.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	2,056	1,207.0	42.0	712.0	4.0	4.0		87.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	32,856	24,963.0	182.0	7,563.0	81.0	26.0	41.0		2004
Number enrolled in WIC	24,928	17,218.0	369.0	6,024.0	195.0			1,122.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	11,153.0	8,740.0	149.0	1,514.0	30.0	15.0	705.0	2,662.0	2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	3.4	2.8	5.9	8.1	1.8	3.6		5.1	2004

HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	257,440.0			2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	6.7			2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	0.7		0.7	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	71,774.0			2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	2,415.0			2004
Number living in foster home care	1,948.0	109.0		2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	31,997.0	818.0		2004
Number enrolled in WIC	23,932.0	995.0		2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	13,815.0	249.0		2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)	94.9	5.1		2004

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL	
Living in metropolitan areas	0	
Living in urban areas	139,018	
Living in rural areas	118,422	
Living in frontier areas	0	
Total - all children 0 through 19	257,440	

Note:

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MT

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Total Population	902,195.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	5.7
100% of poverty	15.1
200% of poverty	36.4

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MT

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)
Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? No Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	257,440.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	8.0
100% of poverty	18.0
200% of poverty	39.0

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This data is not collected in Montana

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_MedicaidNo Row Name: Number enrolled in Medicaid

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not able to ask race of applicants for Medicaid due to HIPPA changes

Section Number: Indicator 09B
Field Name: HSIEthnicity_SingleParentPercent
Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This data is not enumerated in data available to MCH bureau

Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11_50percent
Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data not available to further break down population numbers into poverty levels

Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11_100percent Row Name: 100% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data not available to further break down population by poverty levels.

Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11_200percent Row Name: 200% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data not provided in a form that would break down the population into certain poverty levels.

NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: MT

SP	#		1
3 F	#		•

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

Percent of unintended pregnancy.

GOAL

Reduce unintended pregnancy.

DEFINITION

A pregnancy that had not been wanted at the time conception occurred, irrespective of whether or not contraception was being used. Among unintended pregnancies, a distinction is made between mistimed and unwanted: Mistimed conceptions are those that were wanted by the woman at some time, but which occurred sooner than they were wanted; and Unwanted conceptions are those that occurred when the woman did not want to have any more pregnancies at all.

Numerator:

Number of unintended pregnancies in the family planning clinic population.

Denominator:

Number of pregnancies in the family planning clinic population.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

9-1 Increase the proportion of pregnancies that are intended

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The data is from the family planning clinic visit record (CVR) which is completed on all patient visits. The CVR reports whether the patient "wanted a child, but not at this time" (I.e. a mistimed pregnancy) or "Didn't want any (more) children." (i.e. an unwanted pregnancy). Montana is applying for the PRAMS grant in 2005, if received, we may move to using the PRAMS data set to inform this objective. Significance data from the IOM, "The Best Intentions: Unintended Pregnancy and the Well-Being of Children and Families," 1995.

SIGNIFICANCE

Unintended pregnancy (estimated at 60% of all pregnancies) affects all segments of society. The consequences are serious: A mother with an unintended pregnancy is more likely to seek prenatal care after the first trimester or not to obtain care, is more likely to expose the fetus to harmful substances such as tobacco or alcohol, and is at greater risk of physical abuse herself. A child of an unwanted conception is at greater risk of being low birthweight, of dying in its first year of life, of being abused, and of not receiving sufficient resources for healthy development. Both mother and father may suffer economic hardship and fail to achieve their educational and career goals. Unintended pregnancy also leads to approximately 1.5 million abortions in the United States annually, a ratio of about one abortion to every three live births -- a ratio two to four times higher than that in many other Western democracies.

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	ĺ
54	53	52	51	50	ĺ

2

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women who abstain from alcohol use in pregnancy.

Increase the percent of women who abstain from alcohol use during pregnancy to ultimately decrease the incidence of in utero alcohol exposure of the infant

We are measuring the percent of women who abstain from alcohol use during pregnancy as reported on the birth certificate.

We realize that alcohol use during pregnancy, as reported on the birth certificate is self reported, and thus is under reported. However, this is the only data source we have to measure this performance measure

Numerator:

Number of pregnant women who abstain from alcohol use during pregnancy as reported on the birth certificate.

Denominator:

Number of Montana births plus fetal deaths.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

17-17 Increase abstinence from alcohol, cigarettes, and illicit drugs among pregnant women **HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE**

Alcohol use during pregnancy is reported on the SAQ, DV survey, birth certificates, MIAMI I & Os, WIC, and BRFSS. The birth certificate is the only data source we have in MT that represents all of the births in MT. The other data sources are **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

either anonymous, or represent a only portion of the pregnancies and births in our state. Future years may include the

PRAMS as the data source.

Consumption of alcohol during pregnancy has been associated with reduction in birth weight and intellectual impariment,

CNS involvement, facial deformity, small head circumfrence, brain malformation and intrauterine growth retardation

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 98 98 98 98 98

DEFINITION

SIGNIFICANCE

DEFINITION

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of "WIC" infants who are breastfed at six months.

GOAL To increase the percentage of infants served by WIC in N

To increase the percentage of infants served by WIC in Montana who have had breastfeeding initiated, to 75% and increase

the percentage of infants who are breastfed for a duration exceeding six months to over 50%.

This will be determined based on responses from WIC participants. Information is captured by age group of the child and duration of breastfeeding. The information is available quarterly from the CDC Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System

(Table 1C).

Numerator: Duration of breastfeeding (> 1 day, 1 week, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 months)

Denominator:

Number of WIC participants administered the questionnaire.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

16-19 Increase the proportion of mothers who breastfeed their babies

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

CDC Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (Table 1C).

The advantages of breastfeeding include nutritional, immunological, and psychological benefits to both infant and mother, as well as economic benefits. Breast milk is the optimal food for infants and the only food an infant needs for the first 4-6

months of life.

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
46	47	48	49	50

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of state fetal/infant/child deaths reviewed for preventability by local review teams.

GOAL Identify why infants and children die in Montana and recommendation of system changes in Montana to prevent further

deaths.

DEFINITION Percent of state fetal/infant/child deaths reviewed by local teams for preventability.

Numerator:

Number of fetal, infant and child deaths reviewed by local teams in MT as evidenced by the number of data forms submitted

by local review teams to DPHHS

Denominator:

Number of fetal, infant and child deaths in Montana obtained from the death certificates in vital stats.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 16-1c Reduce incidence of infant deaths

16-2 Reduce the rate of child death

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Submitted abstracts from local reviews of fetal, infant and child deaths, which are entered into a data system at the state

level. Fetal, infant and child death certificates from vital stats are also a data source

SIGNIFICANCE Vital Statistics on fetal, infant and child deaths don't identify the circumstances of death and what can be done to prevent

future deaths. The local multi disciplinary review teams meet and discuss circumstances of the death and prevention of

future deaths, which provides much more detail and prevention is targeted to that specific community

 2006
 2007
 2008
 2009
 2010

 95
 95
 95
 95
 95

Page 91 of 96

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of Medicaid eligible children who receive dental services as part of their comprehensive services.

GOAL To improve dental health of Medicaid-eligible children through increased access to dental services.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of children to age 21 who have received dental services as part of their comprehensive services.

Denominator

Estimated number of children to age 21 who are potentially eligible by state definition, for Medicaid at the end of the fiscal

/ear.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

21-12 Increase the proportion of low-income children and adolescents who received dental services

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Sources and issues are similar to performance measure 13, "Percent of potentially Medicaid eligible children who have received a service paid by the Medicaid Program." From July 1, 1995 to June 30, 1996, 15,719 Medicaid child patients out of 43,970 average eligibles received dental care for a 36%. From July 1, 1996 to June 30, 1997, the percentage was also

36%

SIGNIFICANCE

Medicaid eligible children are not always able to access dental care. Barriers to access limit dental care and impact the

health of Medicaid eligible children in a negative manner.

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
24	25	26	27	28

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of pregnant women who abstain from cigarette smoking.

Decrease the incidence of low birthweight and other adverse outcomes and infant mortality related to tobacco use by GOAL

pregnant women.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of pregnant women who report not smoking.

Denominator:

Number of Montana births and fetal deaths.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

16-17 Increase abstinence from alcohol, cigarettes, and illicit drugs among pregnant women

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Birth certificates.

SIGNIFICANCE

Maternal smoking during pregnancy is a risk factor for low birthweight, the leading cause of infant mortality. Smoking during pregnancy is also a significant risk facor for SAB and stillbirths. Parental smoking can also have long-term effects on a child's growth, intelligence and behavior. Further, infants exposed to cigarette smoke before and/or afater birth are 2 to 3 times more likely to die from SIDS.

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
84	85	86	87	88

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Rate of firearm deaths among youth aged 5-19.

GOAL Reduce by 5% the number of firearm related deaths among youths aged 5-19 years of age.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Total number of population aged 5-19 who have died of firearm deaths.

Denominator:

Total population of youth in Montana age 5-19.

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

15-3 Reduce firearm related deaths

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

1) Montana Census and Economic Center 2) Montana Trauma Registry 3) National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; and 4) national Center for Prevention and Control of Injury.

1. In children under 15, 35-40% of firearm deaths are unintentional. 2. Among adolescents 15-19 years of age, one in every four deaths is caused by a firearm. For this age group, the risk of dying from a firearm injury has increased by 77% since 1975. 3. In 1990, firearm injuries cost over \$20.4 billion in direct costs for hospital and other medical care, and in direct costs for long-term disabilities and premature deaths. 4. at least 80% of the economic costs of treating firearm injuries are

paid for by tax dollars.

OBJECTIVE

SIGNIFICANCE

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 6.8 6.6 6.4 6.2 6

SO# 1

OUTCOME MEASURE: Native American Infant Mortality Rate

GOAL The Native American infant mortality rate will be no higher than the White infant mortality rate

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of Native American infant deaths.

Denominator:

Number of Native American births.

Units: 1000 Text: Rate

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

16-1c Reduce incidence of infant deaths

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Vital records collected by state.

SIGNIFICANCE

All countries of the world measure the infant mortality rate as an indicator of general health status. The U.S. has made progress in reducing this rate, but the rate of decline has slowed. In Montana, as in the nation as a whole, there continues to be signifi8cant racial disparity.

OBJECTIVE

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010